

1 **Corn DDGS in growing-finishing diets for swine**

2

3 **Growth performance and carcass characteristics of growing swine fed corn distiller's dried**  
4 **grains with solubles originating from a modern mid-western ethanol plant<sup>1</sup>**

5

6 **M. H. Whitney<sup>\*</sup>, G. C. Shurson<sup>\*,2</sup>, L. J. Johnston<sup>†</sup>, D. M. Wulf<sup>‡</sup>, and B. C. Shanks<sup>‡</sup>.**

7

8 **<sup>\*</sup> Department of Animal Science, University of Minnesota, St. Paul 55108, <sup>†</sup> Department of**  
9 **Animal Science, University of Minnesota, Morris 56267, and <sup>‡</sup> Department of Animal and**  
10 **Range Science, South Dakota State University, Brookings 57007.**

11

12

13 **ABSTRACT: A growth performance and carcass evaluation study was conducted**  
14 **to evaluate the recommended maximum inclusion rate of corn distiller's dried grains with**  
15 **solubles (DDGS) in grow-finish diets when formulated on a total amino acid basis. A total**  
16 **of 240 (28.4 ± 0.8 kg) crossbred pigs ((York x Landrace) x Duroc) were randomly assigned**  
17 **to one of four dietary treatment sequences in a 5-phase grow-finish feeding program (24**  
18 **pens, 10 pigs/pen, 6 replications/treatment). Dietary treatments consisted of corn-soybean**  
19 **meal diets containing 0, 10, 20, or 30% DDGS. All diets were formulated to contain**  
20 **equivalent total lysine, ME, calcium, and phosphorus levels within each phase. Pigs were**

---

<sup>1</sup> We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Minnesota-South Dakota Regional Ethanol Distiller's group.

<sup>2</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed: 385 AnSci/VetMed Bldg., 1988 Fitch Avenue, St. Paul, MN 55108 (Phone: (612) 624-2764; E-mail: shurs001@tc.umn.edu).

1 weighed and feed disappearance determined every 2 wks. Average body weight was used  
2 to determine when dietary phase changes were made for each individual pen. Pigs were  
3 slaughtered and carcass data collected when average pen weight reached 114 kg. Pigs fed  
4 the 20 or 30% DDGS diets had reduced ADG ( $P < .10$ ) compared to 0 or 10% DDGS, but  
5 ADFI was unaffected by dietary treatment ( $P > .10$ ). Feed/gain increased when pigs were  
6 fed 30% DDGS ( $P < .10$ ) compared to 0, 10, and 20% DDGS inclusion levels. Dressing %  
7 decreased linearly ( $P < .03$ ) with increasing dietary DDGS level, but slaughter weight was  
8 also lower for pigs fed 20 or 30% DDGS ( $P < .05$ ). Loin depth was lower in pigs fed the  
9 30% DDGS diets ( $P < .10$ ), but backfat depth and % lean did not differ between treatments  
10 ( $P > .10$ ). Color measurements, ultimate pH and visual evaluations (color, firmness, and  
11 marbling scores) of the longissimus dorsi muscle did not differ among treatments ( $P >$   
12  $0.05$ ). Water holding capacity traits, including 24-h drip loss, cooking loss, and total  
13 moisture loss, were not different ( $P > 0.05$ ) between treatments. However, differences were  
14 detected between 0 and 20% DDGS treatments for 11-d purge loss ( $P < 0.05$ ). Dietary  
15 treatment did not affect ( $P > 0.05$ ) Warner-Bratzler shear force values of cooked loin  
16 chops. Results from this study suggest that when grow-finish diets are formulated on a  
17 total amino acid basis, less than 20% DDGS should be included in the diet for optimal  
18 performance and carcass composition. Dietary inclusion levels of 20% or greater may  
19 provide satisfactory performance and carcass composition, however, if diets are formulated  
20 on a digestible amino acid basis. Feeding DDGS in swine finishing diets did not have any  
21 detrimental effects on pork muscle quality in this study.

22 **Key Words:** Distiller's dried grains with solubles, Swine, Growth, Carcass

23

## Introduction

Poor amino acid balance and digestibility have resulted in conservative and somewhat variable recommendations involving maximum inclusion rates of distillers dried grains with solubles (DDGS) in grow-finish swine diets. In the Feed Co-Products Handbook, Harper and Forsyth (1998) recommend that no more than 7.5% DDGS be used in diets for growing pigs (40-120 lbs) and no more than 10% DDGS be used in diets for finishing pigs (120 lbs-market). The Pork Industry Handbook (1998) recommends no more than 10% DDGS be included in diets for growing and finishing pigs. In a literature review by Newland and Mahan (1990), however, the authors suggest that up to 20% DDGS can be added to grow-finish diets without reducing pig performance, if synthetic lysine and tryptophan are added to the diet.

Results from a previous study (Whitney et al., 2000) demonstrated that total and apparent digestible lysine level in DDGS from modern (built after 1990) mid-western (MW) ethanol plants is higher than values published in NRC (1998). Apparent digestible threonine and tryptophan levels were also higher in MW DDGS than published values, indicating that greater inclusion rates of MW DDGS may be possible before requiring the addition of synthetic amino acids to maintain proper amino acid balance. Thus, a growth performance study was conducted to determine if adding increasing amounts of DDGS to grow-finish diets in a phase feeding program will provide equal growth performance and/or carcass quality when diets containing DDGS are formulated to contain the same level of total lysine, phosphorus and ME.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

## Materials and Methods

### *Animals and Dietary Treatments*

The experimental protocol used in this study was approved by the University of Minnesota Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. A total of 240 crossbred pigs initially weighing  $28.4 \pm .8$  kg were randomly allotted within gender and ancestry to one of 24 pens in a 4 x 3 factorial arrangement. Distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS) inclusion level (0, 10, 20, or 30%) in the diet and initial bodyweight class [low (23.2 kg), medium (28.1 kg), and high (33.8 kg)] served as the main factors for the grow-finish performance trial. A 5-phase grow-finish feeding program (6 replications/treatment) was utilized to evaluate growth performance and carcass characteristics. Diet switches were made weekly on an individual pen basis, when the average pen weight was within 2.25 kg of the target end weight for each phase.

Experimental diet composition and calculated nutrient analysis are provided for grower and finisher periods, respectively, in Tables 1 and 2. Diets within each phase of growth were formulated to contain equivalent levels of total lysine, ME, calcium, total phosphorus, vitamins and trace minerals. Total amino acid values obtained from a previous MW DDGS experiment (Whitney et al., 1999) were used in the formulation of experimental diets. The ME value used for DDGS was 3520 kcal/kg and the ME value for soybean oil was 7260 kcal/kg. Dietary total lysine levels were formulated at 1.10, 1.00, 0.85, 0.72, and 0.64% for phases I-V, respectively, based on mixed sex pigs averaging 0.77 kg

1 gain/day, 3.10 F/G, and 52% lean (NRC, 1998). All diets were formulated to meet or  
2 exceed a minimum ratio of 100, 55, 65, and 20 for total lysine, methionine+cystine,  
3 threonine, and tryptophan, respectively, and contained 0.15% synthetic lysine. Soybean oil  
4 was added to the control diets at a rate of 4%, 4%, 3%, 1.5%, and 1.5% for phases I  
5 through V, respectively. The higher amounts used in phases I-III were primarily to  
6 provide ample supplemental energy to support optimum growth, particularly during hot  
7 weather, whereas the lower levels used during phases IV-V were provided primarily for  
8 dust control. Because DDGS contains approximately 10% crude fat, decreasing amounts  
9 of soybean oil were added as DDGS inclusion level increased in the diet, providing  
10 equivalent dietary ME concentration and preventing total dietary fat levels from exceeding  
11 7.5%. DDGS was provided by AL-CORN Clean Fuel (Claremont, MN). This ethanol  
12 plant was chosen as the source of DDGS due to its similarity with several newer (built after  
13 1990) ethanol plants located in the upper midwestern region of the United States. The  
14 vitamin-trace mineral premix was prepared and delivered monthly. Feed samples were  
15 obtained from each batch of feed manufactured and frozen until laboratory analysis could  
16 be performed.

17

### 18 *Growth and Carcass Data Collection*

19

20 Pigs were weighed and feed disappearance measured every two weeks during the trial to  
21 determine growth performance, feed intake, and feed conversion. When the average pen  
22 weight reached 114 kg, pigs were tattooed and shipped to Morrell Foods (Sioux Falls, SD),  
23 slaughtered, and tissue samples and carcass data collected. This occurred over 4 different

1 days, with the first group being shipped at 91 days of age. At that time, growth  
2 performance and feed intake were determined for all pigs, and then also summarized for  
3 each pen using market weight data. At the time of slaughter, hot carcass weight was  
4 determined and 10<sup>th</sup> rib fat thickness, loin depth, and percent lean were measured with a  
5 Fat-O-Meater (SFK Technology, Copenhagen, Denmark) by plant personnel.

6 Approximately 24 h postmortem, 112 bellies (average internal temperature of 6.7°C)  
7 from the left sides of two slaughter groups were retrieved and subjected to a firmness test.  
8 The firmness test consisted of measuring belly length on a flat surface (L) and then placing  
9 it skin-side down on a stainless steel smoke stick. The distance between the two ends of the  
10 suspended belly (D) was then measured. Belly firmness score was assigned to each belly  
11 and was equal to the upper angle of the isosceles triangle created by hanging the belly over  
12 the smoke stick and was calculated as belly firmness score =  $\cos^{-1}[(0.5(L^2) - D^2)/(0.5(L^2))]$ .  
13 Belly thickness, not including the skin, was determined by inserting a probe at the scribe  
14 line midway between the cranial and caudal ends. Fat samples were taken midway  
15 between the cranial and caudal ends of the belly at a point just dorsal of the scribe line and  
16 were packaged and transported to the South Dakota State University Meat Laboratory and  
17 analyzed for iodine absorption number by the Hanus method using approximately 0.5 g of  
18 dissolved fat (AOAC, 1998). Samples were analyzed twice and an average iodine number  
19 was calculated and recorded for each carcass.

20 Vacuum packaged boneless loin sections (n = 110) from the left sides of carcasses from  
21 two slaughter groups were purchased and transported to the South Dakota State  
22 University Meat Laboratory and stored (1°C) for 11 d. On d 12 postmortem, loins were  
23 weighed, removed from vacuum packages, allowed to drip for approximately 15 min, and

1 re-weighed. From these data, purge loss was determined and expressed as a percentage of  
2 initial loin weight (NPPC, 2000). Loins were then cut in half and ultimate pH of the  
3 longissimus dorsi muscle in the caudal end of the cranial loin section was measured with a  
4 Meatcheck 160 pH meter (Sigma Electronic GmbH, Erfurt, Germany) equipped with a  
5 puncture-type combination pH electrode (LoT406-M6-DXK-S7/25, Mettler-Toledo GmbH,  
6 Urdorf, Switzerland).

7 From the cranial end of the caudal section of the loin, a chop (2.5 cm thick) designated  
8 for drip loss was removed and trimmed of all subcutaneous fat and extra muscles. The  
9 remaining loin section was frozen (-16°C) for subsequent Warner-Bratzler shear force  
10 measurement. Chops designated for drip loss were also assessed for color, marbling, and  
11 firmness according to NPPC (1999) standards. Additionally, L\* color value was measured  
12 on drip loss chops using a Minolta Chroma Meter CR-310 colorimeter (Minolta Corp.,  
13 Ramsey, NJ) with a D65 illuminant. Chops were then weighed, retail wrapped on  
14 styrofoam trays, and arranged at an approximate 30 degree angle. After 24 h, chops were  
15 reweighed and drip loss was determined and expressed as a percentage of initial weight  
16 (NPPC, 2000).

17 Two chops (2.5 cm thick) from each frozen loin section were cut on a bandsaw and  
18 placed in freezer storage (-16°C) for 1 to 2 weeks. Chops were then thawed for 24 h at 1°C  
19 and cooked with an impingement oven (Model 1132-000-A, Lincoln Impinger, Fort Wayne,  
20 IN) at 190.5°C for 10.5 min. The resulting average final internal temperature of the chops  
21 was 68°C. Cooked chops were cooled to room temperature (20°C) before three 1.27-cm-  
22 diameter cores per chop (six cores per animal) were removed parallel to the longitudinal  
23 orientation of the muscle fibers. Individual cores were sheared once on a Warner-Bratzler

1 shear machine. An average peak shear force was calculated and recorded for each pair of  
2 chops. Chops were weighed before and after cooking to determine cooking loss.

3

#### 4 *Statistical Analysis*

5

6 Growth performance data were analyzed (SAS, 1989) as a completely randomized block  
7 design. Model included initial weight group (light, medium, and heavy) and dietary  
8 treatment (0, 10, 20, and 30% DDGS). Least-squares means were calculated and  
9 differences between treatment means ( $P < .10$ ) determined. Orthogonal comparisons  
10 across DDGS level were conducted to determine linear and/or quadratic trends to  
11 increasing DDGS level in the diet.

12 Carcass data was analyzed (SAS, 1989) as a completely randomized block design. The  
13 model included slaughter group (2 groups), dietary treatment (0, 10, 20, and 30% DDGS),  
14 and gender. Analysis of belly firmness score included belly thickness as a linear covariate.  
15 Least-squares means were calculated and separated ( $P < 0.05$ ) using pair-wise *t*-tests.  
16 Additionally, multiple regression analyses was conducted and included regression of iodine  
17 number on belly firmness score, regression of belly thickness on belly firmness score and  
18 regression of iodine number and belly thickness on belly firmness score.

19

20

21

## 21 Results and Discussion

22

### 23 *Growth Performance*

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

Least squares means (by treatment and initial weight group) for bodyweight and growth performance responses are provided in Table 3. Initial body weight and variation in body weight were similar across dietary treatment groups. Including 20 or 30% DDGS in the diet resulted in similar feed intakes ( $P > 0.10$ ) compared to the 0 or 10% dietary level, but growth rate decreased (817 and 808 vs. 863 and 858 g/d,  $P < 0.001$ ) over the initial 91-d feeding period. This resulted in poorer G/F for pigs fed 30% DDGS compared to pigs fed 0 or 10% DDGS diets ( $P < 0.05$ ). Similar trends were observed over the entire feeding period. Days on test were similar ( $n = 103.5$ ) for all dietary treatment groups. Final slaughter weight, however, was greater for pigs fed the 0 and 10% DDGS diets (117.1 and 117.8 kg) compared to pigs fed 20 or 30% DDGS (114.1 and 112.1 kg), although the experiment was designed to minimize differences in final bodyweight ( $P < 0.10$ ).

These results suggest that growing-finishing swine will readily consume diets containing up to 30% MW DDGS. Therefore, MW DDGS appears to be an acceptable substitute for corn and soybean meal in a growing-finishing diet without negatively affecting voluntary food intake. However, when diets are formulated on a total amino acid basis, including 20% or greater MW DDGS results in poorer growth rate and feed efficiency. This is not surprising, considering that MW DDGS, although relatively high in crude protein and many essential amino acids (Whitney et al., 1999), has an amino acid profile similar to its base grain, corn, resulting in an abundance of nonessential amino acids in excess of the pig's nutritional needs. Also, the apparent amino acid digestibility coefficients of MW DDGS have been shown to be greater (Whitney et al., 2000) than previous published estimates (NRC, 1998), but still less than the soybean meal it is partially replacing in a total

1 amino acid corn-soybean meal formulation. Therefore, a combination of inadequate  
2 supplies of available lysine and other amino acids, in association with increased energy  
3 utilization to deaminate and excrete excess amino acids (and therefore not available for  
4 growth), would be expected to result in decreased growth performance and poorer feed  
5 conversion when diets are formulated on a total amino acid basis.

6 Initial weight group had a significant effect on growth performance and feed intake,  
7 resulting in increased ADG and ADFI ( $P < 0.01$ ) with increased initial weight over the  
8 entire study. Similarly, number of days required to reach market weight decreased ( $P <$   
9  $0.001$ ) with increased initial body weight, with the lightest group of pigs requiring 17.5  
10 extra days compared to the heaviest group. Final market weight was similar across initial  
11 weight groups ( $P = 0.90$ ), but less variation in weight was observed in the medium weight  
12 group ( $CV = 6.83$ ) compared to the light and heavy groups ( $CV = 8.81$  and  $8.94$ ,  
13 respectively;  $P < 0.07$ ). No treatment x initial weight group interactions were observed ( $P >$   
14  $0.30$ ) for the growth performance responses measured.

15

#### 16 *Carcass Composition*

17

18 Carcass composition data were collected from 193 of the 240 pigs that were fed during  
19 the growth performance study. Least squares means for initial carcass weight and  
20 composition are provided in Table 4. Number of barrows evaluated was similar across  
21 treatments ( $P > 0.10$ ), but 4 more gilts were evaluated from the 30% DDGS treatment  
22 compared to the other 3 treatments, resulting in a difference in gilts and total number of  
23 pigs evaluated across treatments ( $P < 0.04$ ). Similar to ending growth performance data,

1 slaughter weights were greater for pigs on the 0 and 10% DDGS treatments (117.1 and  
2 118.9 kg) compared to pigs fed the 20 or 30% DDGS diets (112.9 and 112.3 kg;  $P < 0.10$ ).  
3 This resulted in greater hot carcass weights for pigs fed 0 or 10 compared to 20 or 30%  
4 DDGS diets ( $P < 0.10$ ). As DDGS level increased in the diet, carcass weight linearly  
5 decreased ( $P < 0.002$ ). This was due, however, to differences in slaughter weight ( $P <$   
6  $0.001$ ), and not dietary treatment ( $P = 0.78$ ). These differences in slaughter weight,  
7 therefore, resulted in greater carcass dressing percent values from pigs on the 0 and 10 vs.  
8 20 and 30% dietary DDGS treatments ( $P < 0.10$ ).

9 Fat depth was largely unaffected by dietary treatment ( $P < 0.10$ ), but did tend to  
10 increase with increased slaughter weight ( $P < 0.01$ ). Similarly, percent carcass lean was  
11 unaffected by dietary treatment ( $P < 0.10$ ), but tended to decrease with increasing  
12 slaughter weight ( $P < 0.01$ ). Increasing dietary DDGS level tended to linearly decrease loin  
13 depth ( $P < 0.02$ ). Pigs fed the 0% DDGS diets had greater loin depths compared to pigs fed  
14 the 30% DDGS diets ( $P < 0.10$ ), with intermediate loin depths from pigs fed either 10 or  
15 20% DDGS. The differences in loin depth can be largely attributed to differences in  
16 slaughter weight ( $P < 0.08$ ) and not necessarily to differences in dietary treatment ( $P =$   
17  $0.59$ ). These results suggest that, although growth performance was negatively affected by  
18 increased DDGS level, carcass composition was largely unaffected when factoring the  
19 differences in slaughter weight. Decreases in percent body lean and backfat accretion were  
20 of a similar magnitude, therefore, with increasing DDGS level in the diet.

21

22 *Fat and Muscle Quality*

23

1 Iodine number increased linearly ( $P < 0.05$ ), and thus carcass fat became more  
2 unsaturated, as the level of DDGS was increased in the diet (Table 5). It has been well  
3 established that feeding diets that contain an unsaturated fat source can alter the level of  
4 saturation in pork fat. Lea et al. (1970) characterized quality pork fat as having an iodine  
5 number below 70. In our study, iodine values were greater than 70 for diets containing 20  
6 and 30% DDGS. Overall, our values were within the upper range (50 to 72) of iodine  
7 numbers reported for pork belly fat in swine fed raw soybeans (Pontif et al., 1987) or  
8 barley- and maize-based diets (Lucas et al., 1960; Lawrence, 1974). The effect of DDGS  
9 feeding on iodine number was reflected in the analysis of belly firmness score (Table 5).  
10 Lower belly firmness scores indicated that bellies from pigs that were fed 30% DDGS were  
11 softer ( $P < 0.05$ ) than bellies from pigs fed 0 or 20% DDGS. Softer bellies were most likely  
12 a consequence of elevated levels of unsaturated lipids.

13 Based on curvilinear regression analysis, iodine number and belly thickness  
14 explained 14% and 33% of the observed variation in belly firmness score, respectively, and  
15 together iodine number and belly thickness explained 37% of the observed variation in  
16 belly firmness score (data not presented in tabular form). Thus, degree of carcass fatness  
17 had a larger effect on belly firmness than did fat composition.

18 Color measurements of  $L^*$  were not different ( $P > 0.05$ ) among dietary treatments  
19 (Table 6). Likewise, visual evaluations of the longissimus dorsi muscle did not differ  
20 between treatments for color score, firmness score, or marbling score. Moreover, ultimate  
21 pH was not different ( $P > 0.05$ ) between treatments. Water holding capacity traits,  
22 including 24-h drip loss, cooking loss, and total moisture loss, were not different ( $P > 0.05$ )  
23 between treatments. However, differences were detected between 0 and 20% DDGS

1 treatments for 11-d purge loss ( $P < 0.05$ ). Dietary treatment did not affect ( $P > 0.05$ )  
2 Warner-Bratzler shear force values of cooked loin chops. Therefore, feeding DDGS in  
3 swine finishing diets did not have any meaningful effects on pork muscle quality.

4

5

6

### Implications

7

8 Corn distiller's dried grains with solubles originating from modern ethanol plants  
9 located in the upper mid-western region of the U.S. is an abundant feed ingredient source  
10 that can be incorporated at levels up to 10% of the diet, replacing corn, soybean meal, and  
11 dicalcium phosphate in conventional swine grower-finisher diets. When diets are  
12 formulated on a total amino acid basis, it appears that inclusion levels of 20% or higher  
13 result in decreased growth performance and poorer feed conversion. Including DDGS at  
14 levels up to 30% into a grower-finisher phase feeding sequence does not appear to affect  
15 muscle composition or quality, but does decrease the saturation of fat, resulting in softer  
16 bellies, and may negatively affect further processing traits. Inclusion levels of 20% or  
17 greater may provide satisfactory growth performance and carcass composition, however, if  
18 diets are formulated on a digestible amino acid basis.

1 **Literature Cited**

2  
3 **AOAC. 1998. Official Methods of Analysis (16th Ed.). Association of Official Analytical**  
4 **Chemist, Washington, DC.**

5 **Harper, A. and D. Forsyth. 1998. Relative value of feedstuffs for swine. In: Pork**  
6 **Industry Handbook. PIH-112. Iowa State University Extension, Ames, IA.**

7 **Lawrence, T. L. J. 1974. Short note: The effect on bacon pig backfat iodine number and**  
8 **caloric value of feeding barley or maize based diets to give different growth rates. J.**  
9 **Agric. Sci. Camb. 82:177-179.**

10 **Lea, C. H., P. A. T. Swoboda, and D. P. Gatherum. 1970. A chemical study of soft fat in**  
11 **cross-bred pigs. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 74:1-11.**

12 **Lucas, I. A. M., I. McDonald, and A. F. C. Calder. 1960. Some further observations upon**  
13 **the effects of varying the plane of feeding for pigs between weaning and bacon**  
14 **weight. J. Agric. Sci. Camb. 54:81-99.**

15 **National Research Council. 1998. Nutrient Requirements of Swine. 10<sup>th</sup> ed. National**  
16 **Academy Press, Washington, D. C.**

17 **Newland, H. W. and D. C. Mahan. 1990. Distillers by-products. In: Thacker, P. A. and R.**  
18 **N. Kirkwood (ed.) Nontraditional Feed Sources for Use in Swine Production. p.**  
19 **162. Butterworth Publishers, Stoneham, MA.**

20 **NPPC. 1999. Pork Quality Standards. National Pork Producers Council, Des Moines, IA.**

21 **NPPC. 2000. Pork Composition and Quality Assessment Procedures. National Pork**  
22 **Producers Council, Des Moines, IA.**

- 1 **Pontif, J. E., L. L. Southern, D. F. Coombs, K. W. McMillin, T. D. Bidner, and K. L.**  
2 **Watkins. 1987. Gain, feed efficiency, and carcass quality of finishing swine fed raw**  
3 **soybeans. J. Anim. Sci. 64:177-181.**
- 4 **SAS. 1989. SAS/STAT®. User's guide (Release 6.04 Ed.) SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC.**
- 5 **Weigel, J. C., D. Loy, and L. Kilmer. 1997. Feed Co-Products of the Dry Corn Milling**  
6 **Process. Renewable Fuels Association and national Corn Growers Association.**  
7 **Washington, D. C. and St. Louis, MO.**
- 8 **Whitney, M.H., M.J. Spiels, and G.C. Shurson. 1999. A nutrient database for distiller's**  
9 **dried grains with solubles produced from new ethanol plants in Minnesota and**  
10 **South Dakota. J. Anim. Sci. 77(Suppl. 1):188(Abstr.).**
- 11 **Whitney, M.H., M.J. Spiels, G.C. Shurson, and S.K. Baidoo. 2000. Apparent ileal amino**  
12 **acid digestibilities of corn distiller's dried grains with solubles produced from new**  
13 **ethanol plants in Minnesota and South Dakota. J. Anim. Sci. 78:185 (Suppl. 1).**

**Table 1. Composition of the experimental grower diets (as-fed basis)**

Item	Early grower diets <sup>a</sup>				Late grower diets <sup>b</sup>			
	DDGS inclusion, %				DDGS inclusion, %			
	0	10	20	30	0	10	20	30
Ingredient, %								
Corn	66.05	58.70	51.55	44.39	69.90	62.40	55.20	47.85
Soybean meal (46% CP)	27.25	25.25	23.00	20.75	23.50	21.50	19.25	17.25
Soybean oil	4.00	3.50	3.05	2.56	4.00	3.60	3.15	2.65
DDGS	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00
Dicalcium phosphate	1.15	0.85	0.50	0.20	1.15	0.85	0.55	0.25
Limestone	0.85	1.00	1.20	1.40	0.75	0.95	1.15	1.30
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vit-TM premix <sup>c</sup>	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Lysine HCL	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Analyzed composition								
ME, kcal/kg	3366	3412	3326	3344	3359	3395	3320	3315
Calcium, %	0.70	0.76	0.68	0.70	0.73	0.70	0.67	0.70
Phosphorus, %	0.59	0.61	0.58	0.59	0.58	0.61	0.58	0.57
Crude protein, %	17.7	18.2	19.6	19.8	17.1	17.8	19.2	20.2
Lysine, %	0.90	0.98	1.01	1.06	1.06	1.04	1.02	1.04
Methionine and cystine, %	0.51	0.64	0.70	0.73	0.59	0.65	0.67	0.74
Threonine, %	0.58	0.69	0.74	0.76	0.64	0.68	0.74	0.79
Tryptophan, %	0.20	0.18	0.21	0.20	0.18	0.22	0.20	0.20

<sup>a</sup>Diets were formulated to contain 3435 kcal/kg of ME, 1.10% total lysine, 0.65% Ca, and 0.55% total P.

<sup>b</sup>Diets were formulated to contain 3445 kcal/kg of ME, 1.00% total lysine, 0.62% Ca, and 0.54% total P.

<sup>c</sup>Supplied per kg of premix: 1,466,667 IU vitamin A as retinyl acetate, 246,400 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 6,138 IU vitamin E as dl- $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate, 979 mg vitamin K as menadione dimethylpyrimidinol bisulfite, 1,467 mg riboflavin, 8,800 mg niacin, 5,867 mg pantothenic acid as d-calcium pantothenate, 6.6 mg vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 141 mg iodine as EDDI, 99 mg selenium as sodium selenite, 59,840 mg zinc as zinc oxide, 59,840 mg iron as ferrous sulfate, 3,960 mg copper as copper sulfate, and 1,980 mg manganese as manganese oxide.

**Table 2. Composition of the experimental finisher diets (as-fed basis)**

Item	Early finisher diets <sup>a</sup>				Middle finisher diets <sup>b</sup>				Late finisher diets <sup>c</sup>			
	DDGS inclusion, %				DDGS inclusion, %				DDGS inclusion, %			
	0	10	20	30	0	10	20	30	0	10	20	30
Ingredient, %												
Corn	76.35	69.15	61.75	54.55	82.60	75.40	68.05	60.95	85.60	78.15	71.05	63.95
Soybean meal (46% CP)	18.00	15.75	13.75	11.50	13.25	11.00	9.00	6.75	10.25	8.25	6.00	3.75
Soybean oil	3.00	2.55	2.10	1.65	1.50	1.05	0.55	0.05	1.50	1.05	0.55	0.05
DDGS	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00	0.00	10.00	20.00	30.00
Dicalcium phosphate	1.15	0.85	0.55	0.25	1.20	0.90	0.55	0.25	1.20	0.90	0.55	0.25
Limestone	0.80	1.00	1.15	1.35	0.75	0.95	1.15	1.30	0.75	0.95	1.15	1.30
Salt	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30	0.30
Vit-TM premix <sup>d</sup>	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25
Lysine HCL	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
Analyzed composition												
ME, kcal/kg	3331	3302	3291	3274	3320	3300	3287	3285	3247	3364	3322	3313
Calcium, %	0.70	0.77	0.81	0.74	0.78	0.77	0.81	0.79	0.74	0.72	0.67	0.68
Phosphorus, %	0.56	0.63	0.60	0.55	0.65	0.59	0.55	0.57	0.49	0.52	0.49	0.48
Crude protein, %	13.3	15.2	16.5	17.4	12.6	13.3	14.7	15.6	12.3	12.7	13.1	14.0
Lysine, %	0.82	0.86	0.88	0.84	0.72	0.73	0.75	0.70	0.64	0.62	0.62	0.57
Methionine and cystine, %	0.50	0.55	0.64	0.71	0.46	0.49	0.58	0.63	0.44	0.45	0.53	0.57
Threonine, %	0.49	0.56	0.64	0.71	0.47	0.48	0.58	0.64	0.45	0.45	0.53	0.55
Tryptophan, %	0.16	0.17	0.16	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.13	0.13	0.14	0.13

<sup>a</sup> Diets were formulated to contain 3412 kcal/kg of ME, 0.85% total lysine, 0.62% Ca, and 0.52% total P.

<sup>b</sup> Diets were formulated to contain 3359 kcal/kg of ME, 0.72% total lysine, 0.60% Ca, and 0.51% total P.

<sup>c</sup> Diets were formulated to contain 3364 kcal/kg of ME, 0.64% total lysine, 0.59% Ca, and 0.50% total P.

<sup>d</sup> Supplied per kg of premix: 1,466,667 IU vitamin A as retinyl acetate, 246,400 IU vitamin D<sub>3</sub>, 6,138 IU vitamin E as dl- $\alpha$ -tocopherol acetate, 979 mg vitamin K as menadione dimethylpyrimidinol bisulfite, 1,467 mg riboflavin, 8,800 mg niacin, 5,867 mg pantothenic acid, as d-calcium pantothenate, 6.6 mg vitamin B<sub>12</sub>, 141 mg iodine as EDDI, 99 mg selenium as sodium selenite, 59,840 mg zinc as zinc oxide, 59,840 mg iron as ferrous sulfate, 3,960 mg copper as copper sulfate, and 1,980 mg manganese as manganese oxide.

**Table 3. Least squares means for bodyweight, ADG, ADFI, and G/F responses to dietary treatments and initial weight groups.**

Item	DDGS inclusion, %				SEM	Initial wt group			SEM	<i>P</i> -value		
	0	10	20	30		Low	Med	High		Trt	Grp	Trt x Grp
Number of pigs	59	60	60	60	---	80	80	79	---	---	---	---
In wt, kg	28.56	28.58	28.17	28.24	0.35	23.17 <sup>a</sup>	28.14 <sup>b</sup>	33.85 <sup>c</sup>	0.30	0.77	0.001	0.93
CV, %	8.28	8.98	8.48	9.75	1.05	8.53 <sup>a</sup>	7.11 <sup>a</sup>	10.99 <sup>b</sup>	0.91	0.77	0.03	0.45
<b>Day 0 - 91</b>												
ADG, g	863 <sup>a</sup>	858 <sup>a</sup>	817 <sup>b</sup>	808 <sup>b</sup>	9.08	813 <sup>a</sup>	826 <sup>a</sup>	867 <sup>b</sup>	4.54	0.001	0.001	0.35
ADFI, g	2288	2279	2206	2252	40.86	2116 <sup>a</sup>	2220 <sup>b</sup>	2429 <sup>c</sup>	31.78	0.51	0.001	0.48
G/F	0.377 <sup>a</sup>	0.377 <sup>a</sup>	0.370 <sup>a,b</sup>	0.360 <sup>b</sup>	0.004	0.384 <sup>a</sup>	0.373 <sup>b</sup>	0.356 <sup>c</sup>	0.004	0.05	0.001	0.60
D 91 wt, kg	106.13	106.55	102.49	101.74	0.77	97.18 <sup>a</sup>	103.36 <sup>b</sup>	112.14 <sup>c</sup>	0.68	0.002	0.001	0.27
CV, %	6.58 <sup>a</sup>	7.45 <sup>a</sup>	8.18 <sup>a</sup>	10.45 <sup>b</sup>	0.68	8.66 <sup>a,b</sup>	6.9 <sup>a</sup>	8.94 <sup>b</sup>	0.59	0.01	0.06	0.73
<b>Overall</b>												
ADG, g	863 <sup>a</sup>	858 <sup>a</sup>	826 <sup>b</sup>	808 <sup>b</sup>	4.54	822 <sup>a</sup>	825 <sup>a</sup>	873 <sup>b</sup>	4.54	0.001	0.001	0.31
ADFI, g	2379	2374	2311	2356	36.32	2288 <sup>a</sup>	2324 <sup>a</sup>	2456 <sup>b</sup>	31.78	0.59	0.01	0.53
G/F	0.363 <sup>a</sup>	0.360 <sup>a</sup>	0.358 <sup>a</sup>	0.343 <sup>b</sup>	0.004	0.359	0.355	0.355	0.004	0.02	0.71	0.67
Final wt, kg	117.14 <sup>a</sup>	117.80 <sup>a</sup>	114.11 <sup>b</sup>	112.11 <sup>b</sup>	1.40	115.35	114.86	115.66	1.21	0.05	0.90	0.83
CV, %	6.92 <sup>a</sup>	7.15 <sup>a</sup>	8.30 <sup>a</sup>	10.40 <sup>b</sup>	0.74	8.81 <sup>a</sup>	6.83 <sup>b</sup>	8.94 <sup>a</sup>	0.64	0.02	0.07	0.96
# of days	103.5	103.5	103.5	103.5	1.17	112.0 <sup>a</sup>	104.0 <sup>b</sup>	94.5 <sup>c</sup>	1.01	1.00	0.001	1.00

<sup>a,b</sup>Means within a row with different superscripts differ ( $P < 0.10$ ).

**Table 4. Least squares means for carcass responses to dietary treatments and slaughter weight.**

Item	DDGS inclusion, %				SEM	ANOVA <i>P</i> -value			Trend <i>P</i> -value	
	0	10	20	30		Trt	Wt	Trt x Wt	Linear	Quad
Number of pigs	45	48	50	50	0.64	0.04	0.47	0.04	0.28	0.50
Number of barrows	24	27	29	28	0.46	0.63	0.90	0.60	0.33	0.48
Number of gilts	21	21	21	25	0.53	0.04	0.31	0.04	0.59	0.42
Slaughter wt, kg	117.10 <sup>a</sup>	118.92 <sup>a</sup>	112.89 <sup>b</sup>	112.27 <sup>b</sup>	1.66	0.03	---	---	0.02	---
Carcass wt, kg	85.91 <sup>a</sup>	86.85 <sup>a</sup>	80.68 <sup>b</sup>	80.55 <sup>b</sup>	1.23	0.78	0.001	0.75	0.002	0.01
Dressing %	73.37 <sup>a</sup>	73.03 <sup>a</sup>	71.50 <sup>b</sup>	71.74 <sup>b</sup>	0.50	0.77	0.83	0.74	0.003	0.01
Fat depth, mm	21.33	21.68	20.97	20.58	0.65	0.40	0.01	0.41	0.32	0.46
Loin depth, mm	56.57 <sup>a</sup>	54.15 <sup>a,b</sup>	54.40 <sup>a,b</sup>	51.72 <sup>b</sup>	1.24	0.59	0.08	0.60	0.02	0.07
Lean, %	52.63	52.15	52.60	52.53	0.42	0.16	0.01	0.17	0.93	0.80

<sup>a,b</sup>Means within a row with different superscripts differ ( $P < 0.10$ ).

**Table 5. Fat quality characteristics of swine fed differing levels of distiller's dried grains with solubles.**

Item	DDGS inclusion, %				RMSE
	0	10	20	30	
Belly thickness, cm	3.15 <sup>c</sup>	3.00 <sup>c,d</sup>	2.84 <sup>c,d</sup>	2.71 <sup>d</sup>	0.56
Belly firmness score <sup>a</sup> , degrees	27.3 <sup>c</sup>	24.4 <sup>c,d</sup>	25.1 <sup>c</sup>	21.3 <sup>d</sup>	6.3
Adjusted belly firmness score <sup>b</sup> , degrees	25.9 <sup>c</sup>	23.8 <sup>c,d</sup>	25.4 <sup>c</sup>	22.4 <sup>d</sup>	5.4
Iodine number	66.8 <sup>c</sup>	68.6 <sup>d</sup>	70.6 <sup>e</sup>	72.0 <sup>e</sup>	3.4

<sup>a</sup>Belly firmness score =  $\cos^{-1}[(0.5(L^2) - D^2)/(0.5(L^2))]$ , where L = belly length measured on a flat surface and D = the distance between the two ends of a suspended belly; higher belly firmness scores indicate firmer bellies.

<sup>b</sup>Belly firmness score adjusted for belly thickness.

<sup>c,d,e</sup>Means within a row with different superscripts differ ( $P < 0.10$ ).

**Table 6. Muscle quality characteristics of swine fed differing levels of distiller's dried grains with solubles.**

Item	DDGS inclusion, %				RMSE
	0	10	20	30	
L <sup>*,a</sup>	54.28	55.10	55.81	55.51	2.87
Color score <sup>b</sup>	3.17	3.15	3.05	3.12	0.81
Firmness score <sup>c</sup>	2.21	2.04	2.06	2.08	0.52
Marbling score <sup>d</sup>	1.89	1.85	1.72	1.91	0.61
Ultimate pH	5.61	5.56	5.60	5.61	0.16
11-d purge loss, %	2.06 <sup>f</sup>	2.37 <sup>f,g</sup>	2.84 <sup>g</sup>	2.54 <sup>f,g</sup>	1.15
24-h drip loss, %	0.70	0.67	0.71	0.74	0.17
Cooking loss, %	18.66	18.50	18.26	18.77	2.58
Total moisture loss <sup>e</sup> , %	21.42	21.54	21.81	22.05	3.13
Warner-Bratzler shear, kg	3.40	3.44	3.33	3.30	0.53

<sup>a</sup>0 = black to 100 = white.

<sup>b</sup>1 = pale pinkish gray to white; 2 = grayish pink; 3 = reddish pink; 4 = dark reddish pink; 5 = purplish red; 6 = dark purplish red (NPPC, 1999).

<sup>c</sup>1 = soft; 2 = firm; 3 = very firm (NPPC, 1999).

<sup>d</sup>Visual scale approximates percent intramuscular fat content (NPPC, 1999).

<sup>e</sup>Total moisture loss = 11-d purge loss + 24-h drip loss + cooking loss.

<sup>f,g</sup>Means within a row with different superscripts differ ( $P < 0.05$ ).