

1 **DIET COMPOSITION AND ILEITIS IN PIGS 3**

2

3 **Effect of dietary inclusion of distiller's dried grains with solubles, soybean hulls,**
4 **or a polyclonal antibody product on the ability of growing pigs to resist**
5 **a *Lawsonia intracellularis* challenge¹**

6

7 **M. H. Whitney^{*2}, G. C. Shurson^{*}, and R. C. Guedes[§]**

8

9 ***Departments of Animal Science and [§]Veterinary Biosciences, University of**
10 **Minnesota, St. Paul 55108**

11

12 **ABSTRACT: An experiment was conducted to determine if dietary inclusion of**
13 **distiller's dried grains with solubles (DDGS), soybean hulls, or soybean hulls**
14 **sprayed with an egg-based, polyclonal antibody product reduces the incidence**
15 **and/or severity of infection in growing pigs after a *L. intracellularis* challenge. One**
16 **hundred 17-d old weaned pigs were blocked by sex and weight and randomly**
17 **allotted to one of five treatment groups: negative control (NC) - unchallenged, corn-**
18 **soy diet; positive control (PC) - challenged, corn-soy diet; 20% DDGS diet (D) –**
19 **challenged; 5% soybean hulls diet (SH) – challenged; and SH sprayed with**

¹ We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of the Illinois Corn Marketing Board, Minnesota Corn Growers Association, and CAMUS, Inc.

² Correspondence: Mankato Regional Extension Center, Technology Plus of Mankato, Inc., 1961 Premier Drive, Suite 148, Mankato, MN 56001 (phone: 507-389-6714; e-mail: whitn007@umn.edu).

1 polyclonal antibody product diet (PA) - challenged. Challenged pigs were orally
2 inoculated with 8×10^8 *L. intracellularis* after a 4-wk pre-challenge feeding period.
3 On d 21 post-challenge, pigs were euthanized, lesions of intestinal mucosa were
4 evaluated, and ileal tissue samples were analyzed by immunohistochemistry to
5 determine presence and proliferation of *L. intracellularis*. Challenging pigs with *L.*
6 *intracellularis* reduced growth rate, feed intake, and feed conversion ($P < 0.02$), and
7 increased the proportion of internal organ weights relative to body weight ($P <$
8 0.01). Dietary treatment did not affect growth performance pre- or post-challenge
9 ($P > 0.10$). Heart, empty stomach, and liver weights were similar among dietary
10 treatments ($P > 0.10$). Weight of the small intestine as a percentage of body weight
11 was increased in SH pigs compared to PA pigs, while weight of the large intestine as
12 a percentage of body weight was increased in D and SH pigs compared to PC pigs (P
13 < 0.05). Lesion length, prevalence, and severity and fecal shedding of *L.*
14 *intracellularis* were minimally affected by dietary treatment ($P > 0.10$), although
15 lesion length and severity observed in the ileum tended to be greater in PA vs. D
16 pigs ($P < 0.10$). Diet composition may affect length, severity and prevalence of
17 lesions caused by *L. intracellularis* in growing pigs subjected to a moderate ileitis
18 challenge, but beneficial results were not observed by feeding the dietary treatments
19 used in this study.

20

21 **Key Words:** Pig, Ileitis, Distiller's dried grains with solubles, Soybean hulls,
22 **Polyclonal antibody**

1

2

3

Introduction

4

5 **Ileitis, also known as porcine proliferative enteropathy, is an enteric disease that**
6 **results in decreased feed intake, reduced growth rate, and increased mortality in**
7 **swine. The causative organism, *L. intracellularis*, has been estimated to be present**
8 **in approximately 75% of all U.S. swine herds (Broonsvoort et al., 2001). Strategic**
9 **therapeutic use of antibiotic regimens, including tylosin phosphate, lincomycin,**
10 **tiamulin, and chlortetracycline with bacitracin methylene disalicylate has been**
11 **effective in treating acute cases of *L. intracellularis* (McOrist and Gebhart, 1999).**
12 **Sub-therapeutic levels of these antibiotics have improved pig performance, but often**
13 **fail to prevent the disease (Gebhart et al., 1998; Schwartz et al., 1998; Winkelman,**
14 **1998). Therapeutic levels of feed-grade antibiotics are very expensive and can**
15 **generally be used for a limited period of time. Also, food safety concerns over**
16 **potential residue violations in meat and the risk of antibiotic-resistance in human**
17 **strains of pathogenic organisms may preclude continued use of many**
18 **antimicrobials.**

19 **Reports from informal field studies suggest that including distiller's dried grains**
20 **with solubles (DDGS) or soybean hulls in grow-finish diets may reduce dependence**
21 **on antibiotics to combat ileitis. DDGS contains approximately 10% crude fiber, and**
22 **the fiber composition is primarily insoluble (42.2%) versus soluble (0.7%) in nature**
23 **(Shurson et al., 2000). Soybean hulls have higher crude fiber (40%), insoluble fiber**

1 (75.5%), and soluble dietary fiber (8.4%) compared to DDGS (Shurson et al., 2000).
2 Feeding diets low in soluble non-starch polysaccharides has been shown to reduce
3 the proliferation of pathogenic organisms in the gastrointestinal tract (Hampson et
4 al., 1999). Dietary fiber generally increases the secretory function of the epithelium,
5 and this alteration may assist in impairing bacterial adhesion to the intestinal wall
6 (Smith and Halls, 1968). Fiber also may provide a “cleansing” effect in the gut by
7 reducing the viscosity of digesta (Lawrence, 1972).

8 Providing passive immune protection to pigs by dietary inclusion of egg-based
9 polyclonal antibodies also has great potential for improving resistance or preventing
10 specific diseases. Polyclonal antibody production is accomplished by exposing
11 laying hens to specific antigens, and antibodies to these antigens are produced in the
12 yolk of subsequent eggs. Improvements in growth performance and reduced
13 mortality have been reported with dietary inclusion of spray-dried egg protein
14 products under commercial production conditions (Kichura, 1997; Shipp et al.,
15 1999). The objective of this study was to evaluate the effect of dietary inclusion of
16 DDGS, soybean hulls, or a newly developed polyclonal egg antibody product specific
17 to *L. intracellularis* (CAMAS Inc., LeCenter, MN) on the ability of growing pigs to
18 resist a *L. intracellularis* challenge.

19

20

21

Materials and Methods

22

23

1 *Animals and Allotment*

2

3 Experimental protocols used in this study were reviewed and approved by the
4 Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Minnesota. One
5 hundred crossbred pigs (50 gilts and 50 barrows, 1/4 Landrace x 1/4 Large White x
6 1/2 Duroc) were obtained and transported from a commercial farrowing unit to
7 isolation barns located on the University of Minnesota (St. Paul) campus. The
8 source herd had no history or recorded cases of proliferative enteropathy, and was
9 serologically negative for *Lawsonia intracellularis*, porcine respiratory and
10 reproductive syndrome (PRRS), and *Actinobacillus pleuropneumonia*. The source
11 herd was also clinically negative for *Salmonella cholerasuis*, transmissible
12 gastroenteritis (TGE), and pathogenic *Brachyspira* species. Pigs, approximately 17
13 d of age, were blocked by gender and weight, and blocks randomly allotted to one of
14 five treatment groups: negative control (NC) corn-soybean meal diet without
15 disease challenge, positive control (PC) corn-soybean meal diet with disease
16 challenge, 20% DDGS diet with disease challenge (D), 5% soybean hulls diet with
17 disease challenge (SH), or a 5% soybean hulls diet containing a polyclonal antibody
18 product (CAMAS Inc., Le Center, MN) with disease challenge (PA). The polyclonal
19 antibody product was produced by injecting laying hens several times with an
20 antigen specific for *L. intracellularis*, collecting the eggs, harvesting and separating
21 the yolk, and then the resultant product was sprayed onto soybean hulls. The
22 DDGS utilized for the study was obtained from Al-Corn Clean Fuel (Claremont,

1 MN). Animals were housed in isolation rooms, with 10 pigs per room (7.25 m² per
2 room, 10 rooms total) and 2 rooms per treatment group.

3

4 *Experimental Diets*

5

6 All pigs were fed a similar commercial pelleted Phase I nursery diet for the first 4
7 d of the experiment to encourage feed intake prior to initiation of dietary
8 treatments. After the initial 4 d acclimation period, pigs were fed their respective
9 experimental diets for the remainder of the 53 d study. Representative samples of
10 each diet were obtained and analyzed for dry matter, gross energy, crude protein,
11 ash, ether extract, crude fiber, calcium, phosphorus, and individual amino acids.
12 The dietary inclusion level of 5% soybean hulls was chosen because field reports
13 indicated that clinical signs of ileitis were reduced when this level of soybean hulls
14 were fed under commercial conditions (Goihl, 2001). The dietary crude fiber
15 content provided by soybean hulls is equivalent to that contributed by 20% DDGS,
16 and therefore, this level of DDGS was chosen. Experimental diets were formulated
17 to contain equivalent energy (3390 kcal/kg ME), calcium (0.80%), total phosphorus
18 (0.70%), and apparent ileal digestible lysine (1.15%). Diets were formulated based
19 on recently determined DDGS nutrient values for ME (Spiehs et al., 1999), total
20 amino acid and mineral levels (Spiehs et al., 2002), and apparent ileal amino acid
21 digestibility coefficients (Whitney et al., 2000). The ME value used for DDGS was
22 3350 kcal/kg on an as-fed basis. All other nutrients were provided to meet or exceed
23 NRC (1998) recommendations. Dietary digestible and metabolizable energy values

1 were calculated based on proximate analysis values using the following formulas
2 from Noblet and Perez (1993):

$$3 \quad \text{DE kcal/kg} = 4151 - (122 \times \% \text{ Ash}) + (23 \times \% \text{ CP}) + (38 \times \% \text{ EE}) - (64 \times$$
$$4 \quad \text{Crude fiber)}$$

$$5 \quad \text{ME kcal/kg} = \text{DE} \times (1.003 - (0.0021 \times \% \text{ CP}))$$

6

7 *Disease Challenge*

8

9 Four wks after experimental diets were initiated (d 32), pigs were manually
10 restrained and provided either 40 ml of either saline (NC) or an inoculation of *L.*
11 *intracellularis* (PC, D, SH, and PA treatments) via stomach tube. The inoculate was
12 prepared as a mucosal homogenate collected from the small intestines of pigs
13 previously infected with *Lawsonia intracellularis* and exhibiting lesions consistent
14 with ileitis. Mucosal material was collected by scraping the lumen of the infected
15 intestine and diluting it with a sucrose-phosphate-glutamate buffer to obtain a
16 dosage of 1×10^8 *L. intracellularis* per pig. A representative sample of the harvested
17 intestinal mucosa was submitted to the University of Minnesota Veterinary
18 Diagnostic Lab and dosage level determined to be 6.4×10^8 *L. intracellularis* / pig.
19 Intestinal material was also screened and determined to be negative for the presence
20 of other pathogens, including spirochetes, viruses, parasite ova, B-hemolytic *E. coli*
21 and *Salmonella* sp. Care was taken to avoid cross-contaminating pigs from different
22 rooms after the disease challenge. Biosecurity procedures included use of separate
23 coveralls, boots, and gloves for each room. In addition, cleaning and feeding

1 schedules were developed and implemented to ensure that movement between
2 rooms was conducted in order from non-infected (NC) to infected groups.

3

4 *Data Collection*

5

6 Growth rate and feed intake data were collected for both the pre- and post-
7 inoculation periods. Clinical observations for alertness, gauntness, and diarrhea
8 were scored 3 times/wk following challenge. Alertness was scored on animal
9 behavior characteristics, with 1 = normal, 2 = slightly depressed and/or listless, and
10 3 = severely depressed or recumbent. Gauntness scores were based on visual body
11 condition, with 1 = normal, 2 = slightly to moderately gaunt, and 3 = severely gaunt.
12 Diarrhea was scored based on the following characteristics of feces: 1 = no diarrhea,
13 2 = semi-solid feces without blood, 3 = watery feces without blood, 4 = blood-tinged
14 feces that was loose or formed, and 5 = profuse diarrhea with frank blood or dark
15 tarry feces. Fecal samples were collected on d 14 and d 20 post-inoculation, and sent
16 to the University of Minnesota Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory for polymerase
17 chain reaction (PCR) evaluation of *L. intracellularis* presence in order to determine
18 if the organism was being shed by animals. Bacterial DNA were extracted from
19 fecal samples using a Qiagen extraction kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) prior to PCR
20 analysis using a Quantitect kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA) as outlined by Jones et al.
21 (1993).

22 On d 20 or d 21 post-challenge, all pigs were euthanized and necropsies were
23 performed. Weights of the heart, empty stomach, liver, and empty small and large

1 intestine were determined and recorded. Representative samples of digesta from
2 the small and large intestines were collected and pH was measured. Length of the
3 small and large intestine was also measured and visual evaluation of the general
4 condition of the intestine, length of observable lesions, and location of lesions were
5 made. Lesions were scored for severity based on the following characteristics: 0 =
6 normal (no visual appearance of lesion), 1 = mild mesenteric and intestinal wall
7 edema and hyperemia, 2 = mild to moderate edema and hyperemia of the mesentery
8 and intestinal wall, and corrugated intestinal mucosa (PIA), 3 = severe mesenteric
9 and intestinal wall edema and hyperemia, and necrosis of the mucosal surface with
10 formation of pseudo-diphtheric membrane (necrotic enteritis), and 4 = moderate to
11 severe edema and hyperemia of the mesentery and intestinal wall, thick and
12 corrugated mucosa, and blood clots in the intestinal lumen (PHE). A 10 cm tissue
13 section of the distal ileum proximal to the ileal-cecal junction was collected and fixed
14 by immersion in 10% neutral buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, and
15 analyzed by immunohistochemistry (IHC) using a monoclonal antibody specific for
16 *L. intracellularis* (McOrist et al., 1987). The reaction to *L. intracellularis* antigen
17 was graded from 0 (no *L. intracellularis* positive antigen labeled) to 4 (100% of
18 epithelial cells in the crypts with positive antigen labeling) (Guedes et al., 2002).

19

20 *Statistical Analysis*

21

22 Analysis of variance was conducted on all data utilizing the GLM procedures of
23 SAS (1985). Growth performance data were analyzed by room using analysis of

1 variance (two replications per treatment). All other data were analyzed utilizing the
2 individual pig as the experimental unit, providing 20 replications per treatment.
3 Least squares means were used to compare the negative and positive control pigs,
4 and thereby evaluate the effects of infected vs. non-infected pigs for the various
5 response criteria measured. Analysis of variance was used to compare response
6 criteria among the disease challenge treatments (PC, D, SH, and PA). In addition,
7 least squares means comparisons were conducted among challenged treatments to
8 identify differences due to dietary treatments.

9
10

11 Results and Discussion

12
13 *Diet Composition*

14
15

16 Calculated metabolizable energy (ME) concentration based on proximate
17 analysis of the diets tended to be lower in all diets compared to formulated levels
18 (3133 vs. 3390 kcal/kg), but was similar among experimental diets (range = 3102 –
19 3151 kcal/kg ME; Table 1). Calcium level tended to be slightly higher in the corn-
20 soybean meal diets (0.85%) compared to the formulated level (0.80%), while diets
21 containing DDGS or soybean hulls were slightly below the formulation goal (0.71
22 and 0.69%, respectively). All analyzed calcium values, however, were within the
23 permitted analytical range of 0.66% - 0.94% (AOAC, 1990). All diets contained
total phosphorus levels below the formulation level of 0.70%, but only the D and SH

1 diets (0.55% and 0.59%, respectively) analyzed at less than the permitted analytical
2 range of 0.61% - 0.79% (AOAC, 1990). Addition of DDGS to the diet increased the
3 crude protein level, threonine, and tryptophan concentration of the diet. Total
4 lysine concentration was slightly higher in the corn-soybean meal diets compared to
5 other dietary treatments.

6 7 *Growth Performance*

8
9 One pig was removed from the experiment prior to completion due to health
10 reasons unrelated to the ileitis challenge. Body weights, growth rate, feed intake,
11 and feed conversion results are summarized in Table 2. Average initial pig weight
12 was 5.6 kg. During the pre-challenge period, growth, feed intake and feed
13 conversion were similar across all treatments ($P \geq 0.44$). At the time of challenge,
14 pig weight averaged 18.4 kg, and was similar among dietary treatment groups ($P =$
15 **0.52**).

16 Infecting pigs with *L. intracellularis* significantly reduced growth performance
17 during the 3-wk post-challenge period. Positive control pigs grew 68% slower ($P =$
18 **0.01**), consumed 27% less feed ($P < 0.001$), and were 55% less efficient in converting
19 feed to body weight gain ($P = 0.02$) compared to NC pigs. Similarly, NC pigs were
20 **48% heavier** at the time of necropsy. Dietary treatment did not affect post-
21 challenge ADG, ADFI, G/F, or final bodyweight in challenged pigs ($P > 0.60$).

22

1 *Alertness, Gauntness, and Fecal Scores*

2

3 **Pig behavior appeared normal throughout the trial for all pigs, regardless of**
4 **treatment. Unchallenged pigs remained healthy throughout the post-challenge**
5 **period, as indicated by a lack of gauntness and normal fecal scores (Table 3). Fecal**
6 **consistency was similar among pigs prior to challenge ($P > 0.10$), but stools were**
7 **more watery during wk 1 ($P = 0.08$), wk 2 ($P = 0.03$), and wk 3 ($P = 0.01$) post-**
8 **challenge in challenged pigs (PC) compared to NC pigs ($P < 0.01$), indirectly**
9 **indicating clinical onset of ileitis. Additionally, PC pigs appeared to be more gaunt**
10 **during wk 2 ($P = 0.10$) and wk 3 ($P = 0.06$) post-challenge, compared to NC pigs. All**
11 **pigs were in excellent body condition prior to challenge and during the first wk**
12 **post-challenge.**

13 **Gauntness of pigs also increased during the post-challenge period ($P < 0.05$), but**
14 **was similar among dietary treatments that were challenged ($P \geq 0.19$). Fecal**
15 **looseness also increased with increasing time post-challenge ($P < 0.01$). Dietary**
16 **treatment did not affect fecal consistency prior to challenge ($P = 0.17$), nor did it**
17 **affect fecal consistency during the post-challenge period ($P \geq 0.18$). However, fecal**
18 **consistency tended to be somewhat looser in D pigs compared to PC pigs during the**
19 **first wk post-challenge ($P < 0.10$), and was looser in D pigs compared to SH pigs**
20 **during the second wk post-challenge ($P < 0.05$). Including high-fiber ingredients has**
21 **been shown to increase rate of passage through the gastro-intestinal tract**
22 **(Jorgensen et al., 1996), with increased peristaltic action attributed to the increase in**
23 **passage rate. Potkins et al. (1991) also observed an increase in rate of passage of**

1 **digesta by including wheat bran or oatmeal co-products in the diet, both of which**
2 **are excellent sources of insoluble fiber (Grieshop et al., 2001). Therefore, including**
3 **higher fiber ingredients in the diet would be expected to increase looseness of stools**
4 **in pigs, as was observed when feeding diets containing DDGS in this study. During**
5 **the final week of the study, PC pigs had looser stools than SH pigs ($P < 0.05$), with**
6 **fecal scores of D and PA pigs being intermediate.**

7

8 *Internal Organ Weights and Digesta Characteristics*

9

10 **Internal organ weights and digesta characteristics are summarized in Table 4.**
11 **Infecting pigs with *L. intracellularis* increased heart, empty stomach, and liver**
12 **weight relative to bodyweight ($P < 0.001$) at the time of necropsy. Diet did not affect**
13 **heart, empty stomach, or liver weights relative to body weight in challenged pigs,**
14 **however ($P > 0.50$). Weight of the small and large intestine, relative to body weight,**
15 **was increased in challenged pigs ($P > 0.005$), while length of the large ($P < 0.001$),**
16 **but not small ($P = 0.35$) intestine was reduced by *L. intracellularis* challenge.**
17 **Relative weight of the small intestine was decreased in PA pigs compared to SH pigs**
18 **($P < 0.05$), while length of the small intestine tended to be reduced in PA and D pigs**
19 **compared to PC pigs ($P < 0.10$). No dietary treatment effects were observed for**
20 **length of the large intestine ($P = 0.78$), but pigs in the D and SH treatment groups**
21 **had increased large intestine weights relative to body weight compared to**
22 **challenged pigs fed the corn-soybean meal control diet ($P < 0.05$).**

1 **Challenging pigs had no effect on acidity of digesta collected from either the**
2 **small ($P = 0.45$) or large ($P = 0.66$) intestine. Digesta dry matter tended to be**
3 **reduced, however, in both the small and large intestine ($P < 0.10$) when pigs were**
4 **challenged. Feeding soybean hulls increased the acidity of digesta collected from the**
5 **small intestine ($P < 0.05$), and tended to decrease digesta pH in the large intestine (P**
6 **< 0.13) compared to pigs fed either the control or DDGS diets. Dry matter**
7 **concentration of digesta collected from challenged pigs did not differ between**
8 **dietary treatments ($P \geq 0.54$).**

9 **The reduced length of the small intestine observed in challenged pigs would**
10 **suggest a more limited ability to digest and absorb nutrients. Additionally,**
11 **increased internal organ weights (relative to body weight) were observed in**
12 **challenged pigs. Koong et al. (1985) indicated that a high positive correlation**
13 **between visceral organ weight and heat production exists. Therefore, a decline in**
14 **feed conversion, observed in the current study, would be expected due to increased**
15 **maintenance requirements and reduced nutrient digestibility in challenged pigs.**

16 **Feeding high-fiber ingredients in swine diets has produced variable effects on**
17 **internal organ mass. Results from the current study indicated no dietary effects on**
18 **heart, stomach, and liver weight. Pond et al. (1988) observed increased liver, heart,**
19 **and empty stomach weights (relative to body weight) in young adult pigs fed a high-**
20 **lucerne diet. However, Ma et al. (2002) reported reduced liver and pancreas**
21 **weights from feeding a 5% wheat bran diet. Cereal bran contains approximately**
22 **28% insoluble fiber and only 2.1% soluble fiber (Marlett, 1992), and therefore, a**
23 **diet containing 5% wheat bran in replacement of corn could be expected to provide**

1 an additional 1.2% insoluble fiber, but only 0.02% more soluble fiber. DDGS
2 contains 42.2% insoluble fiber and 0.7% insoluble fiber (Shurson et al., 2000), and
3 at a dietary inclusion level of 20% would be expected to contribute an additional
4 7.3% insoluble fiber, but would reduce dietary soluble fiber level by 0.2%. Soybean
5 hulls contain greater concentrations of both insoluble (75.5%) and soluble (8.4%)
6 fiber compared to DDGS (Shurson et al., 2000), and would contribute an additional
7 2.7% insoluble fiber and 0.3% soluble fiber when included in the diet at a level of
8 5%, even though the crude fiber contribution of both DDGS and soybean hulls
9 would be equivalent. Increases in endogenous secretion of saliva, gastric juice,
10 pancreatic juice, and bile have been correlated with increasing fiber (soluble and
11 insoluble) level of the diet, and may be associated with enlargement of these
12 secretory organs (Zebrowska, 1983; Dierick et al., 1989; Wenk, 2001).

13 Feeding a diet containing soybean hulls increased small intestine weights, while
14 feeding soybean hull and DDGS diets increased large intestine weights, relative to
15 overall bodyweight. These results support the observations of Kass et al. (1980),
16 where increased small intestine, cecum, and colon weights (as a proportion of body
17 weight) occurred when alfalfa meal (an excellent source of both insoluble and
18 soluble fiber) was included in the growing pig diet. Ma et al. (2002) also reported an
19 increase in intestinal tract weight when including 5% wheat bran in the diet as a
20 source of insoluble fiber. Jorgensen et al. (1996) observed increased stomach,
21 cecum, and colon weight when growing pigs were fed high fiber diets containing pea
22 fiber and pectin. Dietary fiber of both sources would be primarily soluble vs.
23 insoluble.

1 **Because increased intestinal weight indicates an increase in nutrient needs of the**
2 **organ, providing high fiber, and especially high insoluble fiber, ingredients in the**
3 **diet may be viewed negatively because it indirectly increases maintenance energy**
4 **requirements in swine (Koong et al., 1985). Similarly, Jin et al. (1994) observed an**
5 **increase in the rate of cellular proliferation in both the jejunum and colon when**
6 **feeding a diet containing 10% wheat straw. Based on an insoluble fiber content of**
7 **71.0% for wheat straw (Shurson et al., 2000), the 10% wheat straw diet would be**
8 **expected to contribute an additional 6.0% insoluble fiber, while slightly reducing**
9 **the soluble fiber content of the diet, similar to including 20% DDGS. These results**
10 **indicated an increase in intestinal cell turnover with insoluble fiber addition in the**
11 **diet. Because *L. intracellularis* is an enteric pathogen that must invade mucosa cells**
12 **intracellularly for infection, increasing cell turnover (by dietary insoluble fiber**
13 **addition) may thereby shorten the time and reduce the ability of the organism to**
14 **successfully colonize in mucosa cells.**

15 **Although dry matter concentration of digesta was not affected greatly by diet in**
16 **this experiment, inclusion of insoluble nonstarch polysaccharides in the diet has**
17 **been implicated with decreasing intestinal transit time and enhancing water-holding**
18 **capacity of digesta (Kass et al., 1980; Low, 1985). Stanogias and Pearce (1985)**
19 **reported no effect of adding soybean hulls or wheat bran at 7 – 15% of the diet on**
20 **rate of passage, but noted an increase in feed transit time when dietary inclusion**
21 **levels reached 22 – 30%. This would suggest that the levels utilized in the current**
22 **study were perhaps too low to make any significant difference in digesta dry matter**
23 **content. The lack of response of digesta pH to diet fed in the current study supports**

1 previous research by Dersjant-Li et al. (2001) indicating that increasing the
2 nonstarch polysaccharide level of the diet (15% wheat middlings inclusion) resulted
3 in no significant changes in pH or buffering capacity of digesta in the stomach and
4 small intestine. Wheat midds, however, would be expected to contribute a
5 substantial amount of dietary soluble fiber compared to insoluble fiber.

6 7 *Clinical Lesion Evaluation*

8
9 Results for clinical lesion evaluation of the jejunum, ileum, cecum, and colon are
10 presented in Table 5. One pig in the negative control (NC) group had a lesion that
11 was suspect for ileitis, but IHC analysis indicated that it was negative. Overall, 81%
12 percent of pigs that were challenged exhibited lesions consistent with ileitis, which
13 was much greater than lesion prevalence (63% and 59%) observed in two previous
14 ileitis challenge experiments (Whitney et al., 2004a; Whitney et al., 2004b).

15 Challenging pigs with *L. intracellularis* resulted in significant increases in lesion
16 length, severity, and prevalence in the jejunum, ileum, colon, and overall compared
17 to unchallenged pigs ($P < 0.01$).

18 Lesion length, severity, and prevalence were unaffected by dietary treatment in
19 the jejunum and ileum ($P > 0.10$). Pigs fed SH tended to have reduced lesion
20 severity in the jejunum compared to pigs fed PA ($P < 0.10$). In the ileum, feeding
21 SH or D tended to reduce lesion length and severity compared to feeding PA ($P <$
22 0.10), while lesion prevalence tended to be greater in the PA group compared to the
23 SH group ($P < 0.10$). Lesion length tended to be reduced in the colon for the D, SH,

1 and PA groups compared to the PC group ($P < 0.10$). Lesion severity was
2 unaffected by dietary treatment. Pigs fed SH or D, however, tended to have reduced
3 lesion prevalence compared to PC pigs ($P < 0.10$). Overall, lesion parameters were
4 minimally affected by dietary treatment, although feeding SH tended to reduce
5 lesion length compared to the PC group and tended to reduce lesion severity
6 compared to the PA group ($P < 0.10$).

7 Antibody activity of the polyclonal antibody product at the time of feeding was
8 not determined. However, based on clinical lesion scores, it appeared that the
9 polyclonal antibody product was not active. The spraying process used would not
10 be expected to damage or denature the protein in the antibody product. However, if
11 the product was inactive, it would simply be a source of highly digestible amino
12 acids, and therefore may have encouraged pathogenic growth. This may have
13 occurred, rather than deterring colonization of *L. intracellularis*. Similar polyclonal
14 products have since been produced and marketed in a liquid form.

15 16 *PCR and IHC Analysis*

17
18 Laboratory results are summarized for fecal PCR and ileal tissue IHC in Table
19 6. All pigs tested negative for *Lawsonia intracellularis* by PCR and IHC prior to
20 challenge. Additionally, all NC pigs remained negative throughout the entire post-
21 challenge period. Challenging pigs with *Lawsonia* resulted in a 98.7% detection rate
22 of *L. intracellularis* in ileal tissue, indicating that nearly all pigs were successfully
23 infected with ileitis. Diet did not affect fecal shedding, as determined by fecal PCR,

1 or presence of *Lawsonia intracellularis* in the ileum, as determined by IHC. Lesion
2 severity tended to be somewhat greater in the PA group compared to the SH group,
3 however ($P < 0.10$).

4 Dietary inclusion of DDGS, soybean hulls, or a polyclonal antibody product
5 appeared to provide very little protective effects in the gut to improve the ability of
6 pigs to resist an ileitis infection, as determined by clinical lesion scoring, fecal
7 shedding, or presence of *Lawsonia intracellularis* in the ileum. Actual dosage level of
8 *Lawsonia intracellularis* provided to challenged pigs was much greater than the goal
9 (6.4×10^8), and resulted in more severe lesions than would be anticipated in normal
10 production conditions. Field observations have suggested that including either
11 DDGS or soybean hulls as a dietary insoluble fiber source provides a protective
12 effect on susceptibility or severity of the growing pig to ileitis (Goihl, 2001).
13 Including fibrous ingredients in the diet has been shown to provide beneficial effects
14 on enteric health, including resistance to *E. coli* infection (Smith and Halls, 1968;
15 Bertschinger et al., 1978). Providing insoluble fiber in the diet may improve the
16 pig's ability to resist bacterial enteric infection by increasing mucosal secretion
17 (Zebrowska, 1983; Smith and Halls, 1968), reducing available substrate for
18 pathogens (Drochner et al., 1978), reducing digesta transit time (Kass et al., 1980;
19 Low, 1985), or by increasing intestinal mucosal cell turnover rate through
20 mechanical erosion of the mucosal surface (Jin et al., 1994; Varel and Yen, 1997).
21 Immune protection against colonization with pathogenic *E. coli* has also been
22 achieved by feeding pigs egg-based products produced by vaccinated hens (Erhard

1 et al., 1996). Previous research examining dietary effects on colonization by other
2 enteric pathogens, including *Lawsonia intracellularis*, is limited.

5 Implications

7 Results from this study suggest that minimal benefits of including DDGS or
8 soybean hulls may be achieved for improving the pig's ability to resist an ileitis
9 challenge. Use of a polyclonal antibody product provided no benefit. These results
10 are consistent with a previous experiment, which also resulted in more severe
11 lesions, and therefore may indicate that diet composition has a minimal positive
12 effect on gut health during a severe *L. intracellularis* infection. The inoculation
13 dosage rate used for this study was quite successful in infecting most pigs, but
14 appeared to be higher than what may be considered a typical level during an ileitis
15 outbreak under commercial conditions. Use of the mucosal homogenate model for
16 challenging pigs does not provide the precision necessary to achieve a desired
17 inoculation dosage as using a pure culture when studying dietary modification and
18 enteric disease. Further research is necessary to refine the disease challenge model
19 utilized for this study and previous studies, and allow further understanding of the
20 mechanisms involved with ileitis and to determine the effectiveness of possible
21 alternative nutritional interventions.

1 **Literature Cited**

2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis, 15th Ed. Association of Official Analytical Chemists. Washington, D. C.

Bertschinger, H. U., U. Eggenberger, H. Jucker, and H. P. Pfirter. 1978. Evaluation of low nutrient, high fibre diets for the prevention of porcine *Escherichia coli* enterotoxaemia. Vet Microbiol., 3:281-290.

Bronsvort, M., B. Norby, D. P. Bane, and I. A. Gardner. 2001. Management factors associated with seropositivity to *Lawsonia intracellularis* in U.S. swine herds. J. Swine Health Prod., 9(6):285-290.

Dersjant-Li, Y., M. W. A. Verstegen, H. Schulze, T. Zandstra, H. Boer, J. W. Schrama, and J. A. J. Verveth. 2001. Performance, digesta characteristics, nutrient flux, plasma composition, and organ weights in pigs as affected by dietary cation anion difference and nonstarch polysaccharide. J. Anim. Sci. 79:1840-1848.

Dierick, N. A., I. J. Vervaeke, D. I. Demeyer, and J. A. Decuyper. 1989. Approach to the energetic importance of fibre digestion in pigs. I. Importance of fermentation in the overall energy supply. Anim. Feed Sci. Technol. 23:141-167.

Drochner, W., A. S. Hazem, H. Meyer, and F. W. Rensmann. 1978. Praktische Versuche mit Modellstudien zur Pathogenese nutritive bedingter. Fortschr. Vetmed. 28:220-225.

Erhard, M. H., J. Bergmann, M. Renner, A. Hofmann, and K. Heinritzi. 1996.

- 1 **Prophylaktische Wirkung von spezifischen Dotterantikörpern bei**
2 **Escherichia coli K88 (F4)-bedingten Durchfallerkrankungen von**
3 **Absatzferkeln. J. Vet. Med. A., 43:217-223.**
- 4 **Gebhart, C. J., N. Winkelman, and C. P. Cornell. 1998. Shedding of *Lawsonia***
5 ***intracellularis* in medicated and non-medicated pigs. Proc. Int. Pig Vet. Soc.,**
6 **15th Cong., 2:61.**
- 7 **Goihl, J. 2001. Personal communication. Agri-Nutrition Services, Inc., Shakopee,**
8 **MN.**
- 9 **Guedes, R. M., C. J. Gebhart, N. A. Winkelman, and R. Mackie-Nuss. 2002.**
10 **Comparative study of an indirect immunofluorescent test and the**
11 **immunoperoxidase monolayer assay for diagnosing porcine proliferative**
12 **enteropathy. Vet. J. Diag. Inv., 14:420-423.**
- 13 **Hampson, D. J., D. W. Pethick and J. R. Pluske. 1999. Can diet be used as an**
14 **alternative to antibiotics to help control enteric bacterial infections of pigs?**
15 **Pages 210-219 in Manipulation of Pig Production VII. D. D. Cranwell, ed.**
16 **APSA.**
- 17 **Jin, L., L. P. Reynolds, D. A. Redmer, J. S. Caton, and J. D. Crenshaw. 1994.**
18 **Effects of dietary fiber on intestinal growth, cell proliferation, and**
19 **morphology in growing pigs. J. Anim. Sci. 72:2270-2278.**
- 20 **Jones, G. F., G. E. Ward, M. P. Murtaugh, G. Lin, and C. J. Gebhart. 1993.**
21 **Enhanced detection of intracellular organism of swine proliferative enteritis,**
22 ***Ileal symbiont intracellularis*, in feces by polymerase chain reaction. J. Clin.**
23 **Microbiol, 31:2611-2615.**

- 1 **Jorgensen, H., X. Q. Zhao, and B. Eggum. 1996. The influence of dietary fibre and**
2 **environmental temperature on the development of the gastrointestinal tract,**
3 **digestibility, degree of fermentation in the hind-gut and energy metabolism**
4 **in pigs. Br. J. Nutr., 75:365.**
- 5 **Kass, M. L., P. J. Van Soest, W. I. G. Pond, B. Lewis, and R. E. McDowell. 1980.**
6 **Utilization of dietary fiber from alfalfa by growing swine. I. Apparent**
7 **digestibility of diet components in specific segments of the gastrointestinal**
8 **tract. J. Anim. Sci. 50:175-191.**
- 9 **Kichura, T.S. 1997. Commercial field trials evaluating pig performance with**
10 **specialty egg protein. Proc. Am. Assoc. Swine Pract., 27:189-194.**
- 11 **Koong, L. J., C. L. Ferrell, and J. A. Nienaber. 1985. Assessment of**
12 **interrelationships among levels of intake and production, organ size and**
13 **fasting heat production in growing animals. J. Nutr. 115:1383-1390.**
- 14 **Lawrence, T.L.J. 1972. The effect of certain dietary factors on in vivo pH changes**
15 **and pepsin activity in the stomach of the growing pig. Br. Vet. J. 128:402-**
16 **411.**
- 17 **Low, A. G. 1985. Role of dietary fibre in pig diets. Pages 87-112 in Recent**
18 **Advances in Animal Nutrition. W. Haresing and D. J. A. Cole, ed.**
19 **Butterworths, London, UK.**
- 20 **Ma, Y., D. Li, S. Y. Qiao, C. H. Huang, and I. K. Han. 2002. The effects of fiber**
21 **source on organ weight, digesta pH, specific activities of digestive enzymes**
22 **and bacterial activity in the gastrointestinal tract of piglets. Asian-Aust. J.**
23 **Anim. Sci., 15(10):1482-1488.**

- 1 Marlett, J. A. 1992. Content and composition of dietary fiber in 117 frequently
2 consumed foods. *J. Am. Dietetic Assn.* 92(2):175-186.
- 3 McOrist, S. and C. J. Gebhart. 1999. Porcine proliferative enteropathies. Pages
4 521-534 in *Diseases of Swine*. 8th Ed. B. E. Straw, S. D'Allaire, W. L.
5 Mengeling and D. J. Taylor, ed. Iowa State Univ. Press, Ames.
- 6 McOrist, S., R. Boid, G. H. K. Lawson, and I. McConell. 1987. Monoclonal
7 antibodies to intracellular *Campylobacter*-like organisms of the porcine
8 proliferative enteropathies. *Vet. Rec.* 121:421-422.
- 9 National Research Council. 1998. *Nutrient Requirements of Swine*. 10th ed.
10 National Academy Press, Washington, D. C.
- 11 Noblet, J. and J. M. Perez. 1993. Prediction of digestibility of nutrients and energy
12 values of pig diets from chemical analysis. *J. Anim. Sci.* 71:3389-3398.
- 13 Pond, W.G., H.G. Jung, and V.H. Varel. 1988. Effect of dietary fiber on young
14 adult genetically lean, obese and contemporary pigs: Body weight, carcass
15 measurements, organ weights and digesta content. *J. Anim. Sci.* 66:699-706.
- 16 SAS. 1985. *SAS User's Guide: Statistics*. SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, N.C.
- 17 Schwartz, K., D. Walter, J. Knittel, M. Roof, and M. Anderson. 1998. Pathogenesis
18 of proliferative enteropathy infection and its control with antimicrobial feed
19 medication. *Proc. Int. Pig Vet. Soc., 15th Cong.*, 3:116.
- 20 Shipp, T. E., J. A. Godfredsen-Kisic, and T. S. Kichura. 1999. Hyperimmune spray
21 dried eggs as a feed supplement for weanling pigs. *Feed Mix*. Elsevier
22 International Business Information. Doetinchem, The Netherlands, 7(6):30-
23 33.

- 1 **Shurson, G.C., M.H. Whitney, M.J. Spiels, S.K. Baidoo, and A. Renteria. 2000.**
2 **The value of distiller's dried grains with solubles produced from newer**
3 **ethanol plants in Minnesota and South Dakota. Pages 47-62 in Concepts in**
4 **Pig Science. T.P. Lyons and D.J.A. Cole, ed. Nottingham University Press,**
5 **Nottingham, U.K.**
- 6 **Smith, H.W. and S. Halls. 1968. The production of oedema disease and diarrhoea**
7 **in weaned pigs by the oral administration of Escherichia coli: Factors that**
8 **influence the course of the experimental disease. J. Med. Microbiol., 1:45-49.**
- 9 **Spiels, M.J., G.C. Shurson, and M.H. Whitney. 1999. Energy, nitrogen, and**
10 **phosphorus digestibility of growing and finishing swine diets containing**
11 **distiller's dried grains with solubles. J. Anim. Sci. 77(Suppl. 1):188(Abstr.).**
- 12 **Spiels, M. J., M. H. Whitney, and G.C. Shurson. 2002. Nutrient database for**
13 **distiller's dried grains with solubles produced from new ethanol plants in**
14 **Minnesota and South Dakota. J. Anim. Sci. 80:2639-2645.**
- 15 **Stanogias, G. and G. R. Pearce. 1985. The digestion of fibre by pigs. 1. The effects**
16 **of amount and type of fibre on apparent digestibility, nitrogen balance and**
17 **rate of passage. Br. J. Nutr. 53(3):513-530.**
- 18 **Varel, V. H. and J. T. Yen. 1997. Microbial perspective on fiber utilization by**
19 **swine. J. Anim. Sci. 75:2715-2722.**
- 20 **Wenk, C. 2001. The role of dietary fibre in the digestive physiology of the pig. An.**
21 **Feed Sci. Tech. 90:21-33.**

- 1 Whitney, M. H., M. J. Spiels, G. C. Shurson, and S. K. Baidoo. 2000. Apparent
2 ileal amino acid digestibilities of corn distiller's dried grains with solubles
3 produced from new ethanol plants in Minnesota and South Dakota. *J. Anim.*
4 *Sci.* 78:185 (Suppl. 1).
- 5 Whitney, M. H., G. C. Shurson, R. C. Guedes, and N. L. Winkelman. 2004a. Effect
6 of dietary inclusion of distiller's dried grains with solubles on the ability of
7 growing pigs to resist a *Lawsonia intracellularis* challenge. To be submitted.
8 *J. Anim. Sci.*
- 9 Whitney, M. H., G. C. Shurson, R. C. Guedes, and N. L. Winkelman. 2004b. Effect
10 of including distiller's dried grains with solubles in the diet, with or without
11 antimicrobial regimen, on the ability of growing pigs to resist a *Lawsonia*
12 *intracellularis* challenge. To be submitted. *J. Anim. Sci.*
- 13 Winkelman, N. L. 1998. An evaluation of chlortetracycline feed additive for
14 prevention or treatment of porcine proliferative enteropathy. *Proc. Int. Pig*
15 *Vet. Soc., 15th Cong., 3:112.*
- 16 Zebrowska, T., A. G. Low, and H. Zebrowska. 1983. Studies on gastric digestion of
17 protein and carbohydrate, gastric secretion and exocrine pancreatic in the
18 growing pig. *Br. J. Nutr.* 49:401-410.

Table 1. Composition of the experimental diets (as-fed basis)^a

Item	Dietary treatment ^b				
	NC	PC	D	SH	PA
Ingredient, %					
Corn	61.91	61.91	43.62	55.61	55.61
Soybean meal (47% CP)	32.62	32.62	30.92	32.13	32.13
DDGS ^c	0.00	0.00	20.00	0.00	0.00
Soybean hulls	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00	0.00
Soybean hulls + polyclonal antibody	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	5.00
Choice white grease	2.20	2.20	2.40	4.00	4.00
Dicalcium phosphate	1.67	1.67	1.07	1.73	1.73
Limestone	0.56	0.56	0.98	0.47	0.47
Vitamin/trace mineral premix ^d	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45
Salt	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40	0.40
L-Lysine	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15	0.15
DL-Methionine	0.04	0.04	0.01	0.06	0.06
Analyzed composition					
Crude protein, %	22.22	22.22	24.73	21.46	21.94
Lysine, % ^e	1.34	1.34	1.29	1.31	1.29
Methionine, %	0.36	0.36	0.37	0.37	0.37
Threonine, %	0.80	0.80	0.87	0.77	0.74
Tryptophan, %	0.24	0.24	0.27	0.24	0.24
ME, kcal/kg ^f	3151	3151	3102	3117	3146
Calcium, %	0.85	0.85	0.71	0.69	0.73
Phosphorus, %	0.65	0.65	0.55	0.59	0.64

^a Diets were formulated to contain 3390 kcal/kg of ME, 1.15% apparent digestible lysine, 0.65% apparent digestible methionine & cystine, 0.80% Ca, and 0.70% total P.

^b NC = negative control, PC = positive control, D = distiller's dried grains with solubles, SH = soybean hulls, and PA = polyclonal antibody provided in feed.

^c Distiller's dried grains with solubles (Al-Corn Clean Fuel, Claremont, MN).

^d Supplied per kg of premix: 1,466,667 IU vitamin A as retinyl acetate, 246,400 IU vitamin D₃, 6,138 IU vitamin E as dl- α -tocopherol acetate, 979 mg vitamin K as menadione dimethylpyrimidinol bisulfite, 1,467 mg riboflavin, 8,800 mg niacin, 5,867 mg pantothenic acid as d-calcium pantothenate, 6.6 mg vitamin B12, 141 mg iodine as EDDI, 99 mg selenium as sodium selenite, 59,840 mg zinc as zinc oxide, 59,840 mg iron as ferrous sulfate, 3,960 mg copper as copper sulfate, and 1,980 mg manganese as manganese oxide.

^e Amino acids are expressed on a total basis.

^f Calculated from equation by Noblet and Perez (1993):

$$\text{DE (kcal/kg)} = 4151 - (122 \times \% \text{ Ash}) + (23 \times \% \text{ CP}) + (38 \times \% \text{ EE}) - (64 \times \% \text{ Crude fiber})$$

$$\text{ME (kcal/kg)} = \text{DE} \times (1.003 - (0.0021 \times \% \text{ CP})).$$

Table 2. Effect of dietary inclusion of distiller's dried grains with solubles, soybean hulls, or a polyclonal antibody product and ileitis challenge on growth rate, feed intake, and feed conversion efficiency

	Treatment ^a					Challenged treatments	
	NC ^b	PC	D	SH	PA	SEM	Pr>F
<i>Pre-treatment (d 0 - 4)</i>							
# of pens	2	2	2	2	2	.	.
Initial wt, kg	5.61	5.65	5.61	5.59	5.60	0.02	0.86
<i>Pre-challenge (d 4 - 32)</i>							
Initial wt, kg	6.90	6.99	6.83	6.75	6.87	0.04	0.78
ADG, g	427.7	431.0	390.2	395.3	418.5	10.4	0.54
ADFI, g	627.0	645.5	631.5	599.5	608.5	9.8	0.44
G/F	0.69	0.67	0.62	0.66	0.69	0.01	0.69
<i>Post-challenge (d 32 - 53)</i>							
Initial wt, kg	18.88	19.06	17.76	17.82	18.59	0.32	0.52
ADG, g	867.5	281.0	222.9	191.3	245.3	33.5	0.88
ADFI, g	1357.7	985.3	967.8	944.2	913.6	18.1	0.64
G/F	0.64	0.29	0.23	0.20	0.26	0.02	0.89
Final wt, kg	37.09	25.10	22.55	22.02	23.81	0.84	0.68

^a NC = negative control, PC = positive control, D = distiller's dried grains with solubles, SH = soybean hulls, and PA = polyclonal antibody provided in feed.

^b Significant difference between NC and PC groups for post-challenge ADG, ADFI, G/F, and final wt ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3. Effect of dietary inclusion of distiller's dried grains with solubles, soybean hulls, or a polyclonal antibody product and ileitis challenge on visual gauntness and fecal scores, post-challenge

	Treatment ^a					Challenged treatments ^b	
	NC ^c	PC	D	SH	PA	SEM	Pr>F
# of pigs	20	20	20	20	19	.	.
<i>Abdominal score (1-3)^d</i>							
Initial (d 32)	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.	.
Week 1 post-challenge	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	.	.
Week 2 post-challenge	1.00	1.06	1.03	1.03	1.03	0.013	0.19
Week 3 post-challenge	1.00	1.09	1.03	1.06	1.03	0.021	0.31
<i>Fecal score (1-5)^e</i>							
Initial (d 32)	1.03	1.06	1.03	1.00	1.03	0.03	0.17
Week 1 post-challenge	1.00	1.20 ^g	1.40 ^f	1.30 ^{f,g}	1.32 ^{f,g}	0.04	0.33
Week 2 post-challenge	1.06	2.42 ^{h,i}	2.65 ^h	2.28 ⁱ	2.37 ^{h,i}	0.06	0.18
Week 3 post-challenge	1.06	2.61 ^h	2.53 ^{h,i}	2.34 ⁱ	2.48 ^{h,i}	0.07	0.23

^a NC = negative control, PC = positive control, D = distiller's dried grains with solubles, SH = soybean hulls, and PA = polyclonal antibody provided in feed.

^b Significant time effect for gauntness ($P < 0.05$) and fecal scores ($P < 0.01$).

^c Significant difference between NC and PC groups for fecal scores week 2 and 3 post-challenge ($P < 0.05$).

^d Abdominal scores: 1 = normal, 2 = slightly to moderately gaunt, and 3 = severely gaunt.

^e Fecal scores: 1 = no diarrhea, 2 = semi-solid feces, 3 = watery feces, 4 = blood-tinged feces that are loose or formed, and 5 = profuse diarrhea with frank blood or dark tarry feces.

^{f,g} Within challenged treatment groups, means with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.10$).

^{h,i} Within challenged treatment groups, means with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 4. Effect of dietary inclusion of distiller's dried grains with solubles, soybean hulls, or a polyclonal antibody product and ileitis challenge on internal organ weight, intestinal length, and digesta dry matter and pH.

	Treatment ^a					Challenged treatments	
	NC ^b	PC	D	SH	PA	SEM	Pr>F
# of pigs	20	20	20	20	19	.	.
<i>Internal organ weights, % of body weight</i>							
Heart	0.43	0.51	0.52	0.55	0.51	0.011	0.51
Stomach	0.79	1.00	1.00	1.05	1.03	0.019	0.74
Liver	2.31	3.13	2.98	3.26	3.08	0.072	0.59
Small intestine	3.54	5.03 ^{e,f}	5.08 ^{e,f}	5.36 ^e	4.67 ^f	0.103	0.14
Large intestine	1.57	1.93 ^f	2.39 ^e	2.39 ^e	2.15 ^{e,f}	0.059	0.01
Total intestine	5.11	6.96 ^f	7.48 ^{e,f}	7.74 ^e	6.82 ^f	0.141	0.07
<i>Intestinal lengths, cm</i>							
Small intestine	1552.0	1487.8 ^c	1366.9 ^d	1410.0 ^{c,d}	1353.4 ^{c,d}	27.8	0.28
Large intestine	397.4	318.2	336.9	334.6	325.7	7.0	0.74
Total intestine	1949.3	1806.0	1703.7	1744.6	1679.1	30.1	0.48
<i>Digesta dry matter, %</i>							
Small intestine	10.75	9.29	8.83	8.98	9.30	0.25	0.68
Large intestine	20.10	18.75	18.87	19.04	18.83	0.21	0.54
<i>Digesta pH</i>							
Small intestine	6.42	6.30 ^e	6.25 ^e	5.93 ^f	6.18 ^{e,f}	0.06	0.11
Large intestine	5.67	5.73 ^{c,d}	5.74 ^c	5.52 ^d	5.65 ^{c,d}	0.05	0.33

^a NC = negative control, PC = positive control, D = distiller's dried grains with solubles, SH = soybean hulls, and PA = polyclonal antibody provided in feed.

^b Significant difference between NC and PC groups for all internal organ weights, large intestine length, and large intestine digesta dry matter ($P < 0.05$).

^{c,d} Within challenged treatment groups, means with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.10$).

^{e,f} Within challenged treatment groups, means with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.05$).

Table 5. Effect of dietary inclusion of distiller's dried grains with solubles, soybean hulls, or a polyclonal antibody product and ileitis challenge on lesion length, severity, and prevalence.

	Treatment ^a					Challenged treatments	
	NC ^b	PC	D	SH	PA	SEM	Pr>F
# of pigs	20	20	20	20	19	.	.
<i>Jejunum</i>							
Length, cm	0.0	78.2	53.6	26.8	68.0	11.8	0.45
Score (0-4)	0.00	1.20 ^{c,d}	1.41 ^{c,d}	1.09 ^d	1.80 ^c	0.15	0.37
Prevalence, %	0.0	55.0	55.0	50.0	68.0	5.6	0.70
<i>Ileum</i>							
Length, cm	0.7	15.9 ^{c,d}	13.5 ^d	13.2 ^d	19.6 ^c	1.2	0.21
Score (0-4)	0.05	1.85 ^{c,d}	1.65 ^d	1.50 ^d	2.32 ^c	0.14	0.21
Prevalence, %	5.0	85.0 ^{c,d}	75.0 ^{c,d}	65.0 ^d	89.5 ^c	4.7	0.25
<i>Cecum</i>							
Length, cm	0.0	1.3	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.3	0.55
Score (0-4)	0.00	0.15	0.00	0.00	0.05	0.04	0.58
Prevalence, %	0.0	10.0 ^c	0.0 ^d	0.0 ^d	5.2 ^{c,d}	2.2	0.29
<i>Colon</i>							
Length, cm	0.0	2.7 ^c	0.8 ^d	0.7 ^d	1.0 ^d	0.4	0.17
Score (0-4)	0.00	0.40	0.20	0.15	0.16	0.06	0.45
Prevalence, %	0.0	30.0 ^c	10.0 ^d	10.0 ^d	15.8 ^{c,d}	4.2	0.28
<i>Total</i>							
Length, cm	0.7	98.1 ^c	68.6 ^{c,d}	40.7 ^d	89.1 ^{c,d}	12.5	0.37
Score (0-4)	0.01	0.90 ^{c,d}	0.84 ^{c,d}	0.68 ^d	1.08 ^c	0.08	0.33
Prevalence, %	5.0	85.0	80.0	70.0	89.5	4.4	0.46

^a NC = negative control, PC = positive control, D = distiller's dried grains with solubles, SH = soybean hulls, and PA = polyclonal antibody provided in feed.

^b Significant difference between NC and PC groups for lesion length, score, and prevalence in the jejunum, ileum, colon, and overall ($P < 0.05$).

^{c,d} Within challenged treatment groups, means with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.10$).

Table 6. Effect of dietary distiller's dried grains with solubles, soybean hulls, or a polyclonal antibody product and ileitis challenge on fecal PCR and ileal tissue IHC scores

	Treatment ^a					Challenged treatments	
	NC ^b	PC	D	SH	PA	SEM	Pr>F
# of pigs	20	20	20	20	19	.	.
<i>Fecal PCR, %</i>							
Day 0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	.	.
Day 14	0.0	90.0	78.9	75.0	84.2	4.4	0.65
Day 21	0.0	77.8	85.0	90.0	94.7	3.9	0.47
<i>IHC</i>							
Score (0-4)	0.00	2.58 ^{c,d}	2.47 ^{c,d}	2.37 ^d	2.95 ^c	0.12	0.34
Prevalence, %	0.0	100.0	100.0	95.0	100.0	1.3	0.42

^a NC = negative control, PC = positive control, D = distiller's dried grains with solubles, SH = soybean hulls, and PA = polyclonal antibody provided in feed.

^b Significant difference between NC and PC groups for all PCR and IHC measures ($P < 0.01$).

^{c,d} Within challenged treatment groups, means with different superscripts differ ($P < 0.10$).