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Every community needs to pay attention to their existing businesses. The Traverse County Business Retention and Expansion (or simply BR&E) program is a key element in staying in touch with local businesses and developing strong local economic development efforts. While the attraction of new businesses and the encouragement of new business start-ups are important parts of an overall economic development strategy, many communities now recognize the need to do a lot more to assist existing businesses survive and grow.

It is useful to think of Traverse County and its various communities as a provider of input services to firms located here—similar to other vendors that firms use. Just as businesses gain competitive advantage by continuously aligning their operations to provide better service to their better customers, the community must be looking for ways to provide a better environment for their premium paying customers—businesses.

The survey conducted by the Traverse County BR&E Task Force is a way to get to know the needs and aspirations of Traverse County’s businesses. The survey results are used to develop strategies that help businesses to remain and expand in Traverse County.

Objectives

1. To demonstrate to local business that the community appreciates their contribution to the local economy.
2. To help existing businesses solve problems.
3. To assist businesses in using programs aimed at helping them become more competitive.
4. To establish and implement a strategic plan for economic development.
5. To build community capacity to sustain growth and development.
6. To assess area telecommunications and information technology infrastructure.
7. To contribute to the assessment of Traverse County’s prospects for tourism development.

Sponsorship

This program is sponsored locally by these organizations: Blandin Community Investment Program, Traverse County, University of Minnesota Extension Service – Traverse County, West Central Regional Sustainable Development Partnership.

Traverse BR&E History

The Traverse County BR&E Program’s roots trace back a few years with BR&E presentations made in 1999 and 2001 to groups in Traverse County. Melissa Persing contacted the University of Minnesota BR&E Strategies Program (U.M. BR&E), in August 2001. In 2002, Ms. Persing arranged the funding for the program through the Blandin Community Investment Program and the West Central Regional Sustainable Development Partnership.

In late 2002 and early 2003 a Leadership Team and Task Force was recruited. Volunteer recruits included business persons, educators, local government officials, economic development officials and citizens at large. The Leadership Team decided to work with Certified BR&E Consultant David A. Nelson, a regional Extension educator. Mr. Nelson worked with the leadership team to orient them, to plan the program, and to prepare an application to U.M. BR&E. In February, Traverse County’s application to U.M. BR&E was accepted.

The Leadership Team decided to target a cross section of the business community in Traverse County. A survey instrument was selected with assistance from U.M. BR&E and Cynthia Messer of the University’s Tourism Center and Thora Cartlidge of the University’s Center for Rural Design. It was tested with a visit to a local firm.
The first Task Force meeting was held on March 3rd. They reviewed the survey, the program objectives, and the target list of firms as well as learning about BR&E techniques. Task Force members then recruited additional volunteers to help perform the visits. A visitor training session was held on March 13th. This training enabled them to effectively conduct the firm visits.

Firm Visits
Fifty-six firms were visited during March-May, 2003. The firms visited comprise over a third of the identifiable businesses in Traverse County (approximately 140 firms, as estimated by the Leadership Team using the local phone company white pages). The firms were selected as follows. The overall population of firms was compiled in a list using the white pages. The Leadership Team removed certain kinds of businesses from the list such as home-based businesses and/or other businesses deemed inappropriate for a visit. Finally, a sample of about 80 firms was consciously selected for visits (all six manufacturers in the county plus 74 other businesses).

For the manufacturers the sample is statistically representative since the entire population of this type of business was selected for visits and five of the six were actually visited. Since a non-random selection process was employed for the selection of the other firms, the sample is not statistically representative of the non-manufacturing business population. Nevertheless, the number of non-manufacturers visited (51) constitutes a strong sample since over a third of the non-manufacturers identified in the county were visited. Furthermore, the overall response rate of 70 percent of the surveys being completed out of the target list is outstanding in an era of "survey fatigue".

Prior to the visits, the Leadership Team sent copies of the survey to the firms. Visitors worked in teams of two and took responsibility for arranging and conducting the interviews.

Red Flag Review
After the surveys were completed and returned, the Leadership Team met in May to review each survey and decide on how the immediate follow-up would be handled.

Research Report Development
The BR&E Leadership Team sent copies of the surveys to the University of Minnesota for tabulation and analysis. Megan Kappers entered the data into a spreadsheet and word processing files. A summary of the data was prepared by Elaine Reber for the state research review meeting. At the state research review meeting on June 6, 2003 a team of eleven individuals (listed later in this section) reviewed the results and suggested projects that might respond to the firms’ needs. Mr. Darger prepared the research report based on the input of the state research review panel and other ideas.

Task Force Retreat
A four-hour retreat was held on August 12, 2003. At this retreat, the Task Force established priorities on which major projects they wished to undertake. A committee was set up on each of the three priority projects shown in this report. If you have ideas, time, or other talents to contribute to these projects, then please contact one of the people listed.

People in the Traverse County BR&E Program

Leadership Team
Dale Hurni, Overall/Milestone Meeting Coordinator
Jay Backer, Business Resources Coordinator
Norma Holtz, Visitation Coordinator
Chere Rikimoto, Media Coordinator
Melissa Persing, University of Minnesota Extension Service
David A. Nelson, University of Minnesota Extension Service
Traverse County BR&E Task Force

Many community leaders participated as Task Force members. This group addressed red flag issues, set priorities for action and will lead implementation of selected projects. Task Force members (listed below) also participated in firm visits.

Jay Backer – Business person
Jeff Backer – City Council
Roy Bartz – Business person
Connie Benson – Retired teacher
Michelle Boe – Chamber officer
Joyce Cole – Former County Commissioner
JoAnn Conroy – Teacher and farmer
Marcie Conroy – Business person
Robert Crandall – Business person
Edith Foren – City staff
Norma Holtz – County Commissioner
Dale Hurni – Wheaton Area Schools
Diane and Jerry Johnson – Area business persons
Melissa Persing – University of Minnesota Extension Service, Traverse County
Melanie Peterson – Retired teacher
Brenda Reed – School staff
Chere Rikimoto – Nonprofit
Ellen Vollmers – Social worker
Father Stan Weiser – Priest
Trevor Wright – Deputy sheriff

Volunteer Visitors

In addition to the Task Force members, the following people also participated in the firm visits:

Anita Anrim
Mary Erlandson
Amy Haanen
Ann Hansen
Mark Hansen
Deb Hurni
Joanne Kremer
Kathie Larson
Robert Martz
Edna Mae Niss
Dan Rinke
Janine Schmidt
Vere Vollmers
Stephanie Warren
Ken Warren

Firms Visited

Fifty-six firms were visited. The community greatly appreciates their willingness to help the community understand their needs. Their survey responses are confidential yet it is important to credit their participation by listing their names here in Table 1.

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Name</th>
<th>Town</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Press / Gazette Publishing</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B.W. Inc.</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartz Collision &amp; Glass</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ben's Service</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BJ's Part Depot, Inc.</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns V. Community Elevator Inc</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns V. Hardware Hank, Inc.</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Browns V. Municipal Liquor Store</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community First National Bank</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country Partners Cooperative</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culligan Soft Water Service</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curves for Women</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dale's</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dick Thomsen &amp; Associates</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dueber's Dept. Store</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dumont Bar &amp; Grill</td>
<td>Dumont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E Z Loader North Central</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eyster Realty</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Family Health Care</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodhart Bros.</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hansen Chevrolet Inc.</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hoffman Electric</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J&amp;K Tool Company</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lake Valley Trucking Inc.</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larson's Inc.</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Little People Day Care</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lundquist Seed Inc.</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Main Street Motors</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maynard's Food Center</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike's Alignment &amp; Brake</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitteness Chiropractic Clinic</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Olivers on Broadway</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raguse Sales</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranney Funeral Home</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ranney's Furniture</td>
<td>Wheaton</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reed Fish Company</td>
<td>Browns V.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
BREI Certified Consultant
David A. Nelson served as the Certified BR&E Consultant to the project. This includes coaching and advising the local Leadership Team; conducting training sessions with the Leadership Team, Task Force, and volunteer visitors; and facilitating quarterly implementation meetings when the Task Force moves into implementation.

State Research Review Panel
The panel reviewed the tabulated survey results and suggested potential actions that might be taken by Traverse County leaders in response to local firm concerns. The participants were:

Traverse County BR&E Task Force:
• Dale Hurni, Wheaton Area Schools
• Melissa Persing, University of Minnesota Extension Service-Traverse County

University of Minnesota:
• Michael Darger, BR&E Strategies Program
• Laura Kalambokidis, Applied Economics
• Rob King, Applied Economics
• Dario Menanteau, School of Social Work
• Cynthia Messer, Tourism Center
• David A. Nelson, University of Minnesota Extension Service-West Central Region

Minnesota and Federal Agencies:
• Joe Folsom, USDA Rural Development
• Helen Conde, Minnesota Department of Employment and Economic Development
• Steve Reckers, Minnesota Department of Administration

Traverse County's Economic Profile
An economic profile of Traverse County was prepared for use by the Task Force at its August 12th retreat. This profile gave an overview of population, income, and employment trends in Traverse County, comparing it with other communities. The profile is included in the Traverse County Research Report, which is available for review at Traverse County Extension Office, Wheaton Public Library, or from a Task Force member.

Briefly, Traverse County continued its long term population down-trend in the 1990s. The rate of decline was much slower than previous decades, however, as three of the four towns were stable or even growing in population. The county had a much slower growth in personal income than its neighbor counties, Minnesota, or North or South Dakota. With over twice as high a proportion of seniors among its population as the state as a whole it is understandable why Traverse’s income growth is lagging. One bright spot in the data is the four percent growth in Traverse’s workforce over the last couple years.
Traverse County BR&E Survey Results

Following are the results of the survey of 56 firms by Traverse County community leaders during March through May of 2003. The firm characteristics are summarized first and followed by priority projects selected by the Task Force. Survey results related to those projects are included in this summary. Additional survey results including four strategic themes are in the Research Report. Priority projects were selected from three of the four strategies.

Characteristics of Firms Visited

The Traverse County Leadership Team determined a target list of about 80 firms. Fifty-six firms were actually visited during March through May, 2003 for an overall 70 percent response rate. This response rate is much stronger than you would expect to see in a telephone or mail survey.

The Leadership Team, to the extent possible, tried to make sure the visited firms were distributed around the county fairly in proportion to town size. The numbers of firms visited in the two larger towns of Wheaton (66 percent) and Browns Valley (32 percent) indicate that they were well represented. But despite the best intentions, the two smaller towns may have been slightly underrepresented.

Seventy-four percent of the surveyed firms are locally owned. The largest numbers of firms are sole proprietorships (39 percent) and S corporations (33 percent), but there are also “other” (15 percent) and C corporations (11 percent).

The firms reported a median number of four full-time employees and an average number of 8.3. The median was up from three years ago (three) yet the average slipped slightly as a result of larger employers losing a lot of employees. The median in both part-time categories (under or over 20 hours/week) was stable over the last three years but the average part time positions over 20 hours dropped significantly, again because of a major decline at larger employers. See Figure 2.

Seventy percent of the firms are locally owned. The largest number of firms are sole proprietorships (39 percent) and S corporations (33 percent), but there are also "other" (15 percent) and C corporations (11 percent).

The firms employ 381 full-time and 314 part-time people. Twenty-six percent of the firms are retailers and an equal number identified themselves as other services. The remaining firms were finance, insurance, and real estate (11 percent); manufacturers (9 percent); food & beverage (7 percent); agriculture production (6 percent); and several other business categories each composing less than five percent of the sample. See Figure 1.

Figure 1: Firms Visited by Largest Categories, Traverse County, 2003

Figure 2: Full and Part-time Jobs, Traverse County, 2003
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Overview of BR&E Projects for Traverse County

Profits are the key to the retention and expansion of firms. While the owners of a firm might be attracted to an area because it is a nice place to live or to raise a family, a firm can only survive if it makes a profit (revenues exceed costs). The same is the case for businesses that start in the community. The owners may be loyal to the community, but if they cannot make their business profitable they will close or move it; they do not have a choice.

In a market economy, making a profit is the responsibility of the firm management and its employees, not community groups, local or state governments. However, the actions of these groups can sometimes help firms become slightly more profitable. The priority projects outlined below are aimed at helping firms become more profitable and thus be able to survive and grow in the community.

Traverse County BR&E Priority Projects

The following three priority projects were selected at the ½ day retreat August 12th:

1. **Enhancing Retention and Expansion by Establishing a Traverse County EDA**
2. **Promoting Tourism by Providing “At Your Service” Training**
3. **Improving the Physical Environment**

Priority Project #1: Enhancing Retention and Expansion by Establishing a Traverse County EDA

The Traverse County firms have significant expansion plans for the next three years. If the firms make these changes and expand their operations in the area, they will be an important source of economic growth for the community. The community has the opportunity to help the firms grow and expand in Traverse County.

Related Survey Results

Sixty-three percent of the firms predict increased sales over the next three years and another 26 percent report stable sales. Thirty-one of the firms (57 percent) have identified expansion opportunities! On the other hand, seventeen firms (32 percent) indicated a possible downsizing, sale of the business, merger, move or business closing.

The modernizations or expansions to their buildings and equipment, if implemented, would result in an estimated net employment increase of 92.5 jobs. In addition, other changes in business plans at 25 firms (46 percent) were expected to add a net total of another 73.5 jobs. See Figure 3.

![Figure 3: Expansions, Other Plans and Jobs Impact, Traverse County, 2003](image-url)
Table 2
What Should We Work on to Help all of our Existing Firms Grow and Expand?
(Open-ended responses were aggregated into loose categories and listed in descending order of frequency)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Frequency (# of firms)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Youth retention, employment &amp; activities; keep K-8 school (Browns Valley); maintain schools</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of existing business focus, priority is recruiting outside business yet lots of good businesses already here</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry, manufacturing, farm-related industry attraction, industrial park</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shop local, hometown loyalty, promotion of local business areas</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increased cooperation amongst retail business, between businesses &amp; professionals, community-wide; more positive attitude</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tourism promotion</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversity &amp; variety of businesses</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State, federal regulations and taxes</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telecommunications issues, cell phone line upgrades, high speed internet</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More people needed as residents and employees</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health care, more providers, collaboration among providers, affordability</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City zoning needed, infrastructure improvements, buildings cleaned up, downtown rehab.</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Project plans from the team:
The project team plans to provide additional technical and economic resources to existing businesses and firms in concert with the current city EDA(s). Team members include: Jay Backer, Marcie Conroy, and Norma Holtz. For more information, to join the committee or to offer other assistance please contact one of them.

Priority Project #2: Promoting Tourism by Providing “At Your Service” Training

One of the stated goals of the BR&E project is to contribute to the assessment of Traverse County’s prospects for tourism development. Tourism is an export industry. To the extent that visitors can be persuaded to spend their money, Traverse County would increase its "exports". This would be valuable because it would increase the dollars captured from beyond the local market.

Related Survey Results
The firms indicated a very strong preference for “additional business which result in greater variety” for their business district. Sixty-five percent rated this as one of their top five priorities for business district improvements, whereas 57 percent rated “improvements in the exterior atmosphere of the area” as a top priority. Special events/promotions and better merchandising tied for next highest (37 percent) followed by several items at 22 to 24 percent, including “improved customer services”. See Figure 4.

The firms were further asked which of the several features should be the focus of a marketing image for the county. The overall favorite was “small town atmosphere”, which ranked even above Lake Traverse. See Table 3. Overwhelmingly, the firms think that promoting the area is in the best interests of their business (83 percent). Further, seven of the firms mentioned tourism promotion as a priority in the wrap-up question at the survey’s end (Table 2 above).
A big part of successful tourism business is effective customer service. Some communities have determined that customer service and even friendly service to visitors who aren't customers is very important to creating a welcoming atmosphere for outside visitors. A welcoming atmosphere will make visitors more inclined to stick around and spend some of their money here instead of somewhere else. These communities have established customer service training for front-line employees who deal with the public. Some areas have even created signs (e.g. "tourism spoken here") that businesses and other establishments post to let visitors know they are welcome to ask “dumb questions” there.

Project plans from the team:
The project team intends to provide employees the tools to provide A+ customer service by developing an effective personal service style; promoting our county to visitors; and viewing service through the eyes of the customer. Three educational tiers will be pursued including: youth training, private employee training and training for public employees. Team members include: Melissa Persing, Chere Rikimoto and Joann Conroy. For more information, to join the committee or to offer other assistance please contact one of them.

Priority Project #3: Improving the Physical Environment

The Task Force considered several tactics with the goal of improving the built environment of Traverse County. The tactics include: downtown facelifts, improved telecommunications infrastructure, housing improvements, regional cooperation, and others. In the end, the Task Force decided to consider the vision for downtown beautification developed with community input by the University of Minnesota Center for Rural Design (Lake Traverse Community Vision, May 2003).
Related Survey Results
Table 2 above reveals concerns from the firms about three infrastructure issues: telecommunications, city zoning/infrastructure/downtown rehab. and housing. While lower down on the list than some other categories, these general concerns shows that businesses have some physical infrastructure issues on their radar screen. The downtown rehabilitation issue becomes greatly amplified considering that it was a strong second in terms of priorities for business district improvements. See Figure 4 above.

The firms had an overall positive opinion of the area as a place to do business and as a place to live. Eighty-seven percent of the firms rated it as an excellent or good place to do business and the same percentage gave it a similar rating as a place to reside. There was stronger satisfaction with the residential conditions, but this is typical of all communities that U.M. BR&E works with. See Figure 5.

![Figure 5: Opinion of the Community as a Place to Reside and do Business, Traverse County, 2003](image)

Project plans from the team:
The project team intends to provide a systematic plan and structure for promoting the beautification and revitalization of the downtown areas. The initiative will consider the vision developed by the University of Minnesota Center For Rural Design for the Lake Traverse Community. Team members include: Dale Hurni, Joyce Coyle and Michelle Boe. For more information, to join the committee or to offer other assistance please contact one of them.

The Task Force selected the priority projects. This summary report was written by Michael Darger, from the University of Minnesota Department of Applied Economics. Elaine Reber, of the Department of Applied Economics, and Dale Hurni, Overall Coordinator, also contributed to the report. This publication is available in alternative formats upon request. Please contact Michael Darger at 612-625-6246.

The University of Minnesota is an equal opportunity educator and employer.